arXiv:1612.05737v1 [math.AC] 17 Dec 2016

Polynomial invariants and moduli of generic two-dimensional commutative algebras

M. Rausch de Traubenberg[*a] and M. J. Slupinski[†b]

[a] *Universit de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, F-67000 Strasbourg, France*

[b] *Universit de Strasbourg, CNRS, IRMA UMR 7501, F-67000 Strasbourg, France*

December 20, 2016

Abstract

Let V be a two-dimensional vector space over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic not 2 or 3. We show there is a canonical surjection ν from the set of suitably generic commutative algebra structures on V modulo the action of $GL(V)$ onto the plane $\mathbb{F}^2$. In these coordinates, which are quotients of invariant quartic polynomials, properties such as associativity and the existence of zero divisors are described by simple algebraic conditions. The map ν is a bijection over the complement of a degenerate elliptic curve Γ and over Γ we give an explicit parametrisation of the fibre in terms of Galois extensions of $\mathbb{F}$. Algebras in $\nu^{-1}(\Gamma)$ are exactly those which admit non-trivial automorphisms. We show how ν can be lifted to a map from the $SL(V)-$moduli space to an algebraic hypersurface Γ' in a four-dimensional vector space whose equation is essentially the classical Eisenstein equation for the covariants of a binary cubic. This map is the restriction of a surjective map from the set of stable commutative algebras on V modulo the action of $SL(V)$ onto Γ'.

Keywords: Commutative algebras, Moduli space, Binary cubics, Galois extensions.

[*]e-mail: michel.rausch@iphc.cnr.fr

[†]e-mail: marcus.slupinski@math.unistra.fr

Contents

1 Introduction **3**

2 Notations and definitions **9**

3 Twisted polynomial invariants and the twisted Eisenstein identity **10**

4 Moduli space of generic algebras with respect to the action of $GL(V)$ **19**
4.1 Résumé and comparison with the results of Anan'in and Mironov 19
4.2 Fundamental triples and the invariants p_2, p_3 21
4.3 Moduli space 26
4.3.1 Surjectivity of ν 26
4.3.2 Moduli over $\mathbb{F}^2 \setminus \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}$ 29
4.3.3 Moduli over $\Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ 30
4.3.4 Moduli over $\{(0,0)\}$: exceptional algebras 32

5 Applications **35**
5.1 Division algebras 35
5.2 Associativity 36

6 Moduli space of generic algebras with respect to the action of $SL(V)$ **38**

7 A linear embedding of stable $SL(V)-$moduli space **44**

8 Disc(D)-generic algebras modulo $SL(V) \times SL(V)$ and binary quadratic forms modulo $SL(V)$ **52**
8.1 Isomorphism of moduli spaces 52
8.2 Group structure 55

A Non-generic commutative algebras **56**
A.1 Stable commutative algebras 56
A.2 Non-stable commutative algebras 59

1 Introduction

If $\mathbb{F}$ is a field it is well known that the equivalence classes of separable quadratic extensions of $\mathbb{F}$ (including the split extension) are classified by the Galois cohomology group $H^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}$ [1]. It is easy to check that if $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F}$ is a quadratic extension, the associated "twisted" cubic $Q(x) = x^2 \wedge x$ with values in $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{K})$ has three distinct roots in a splitting field and generically, the "twisted" cubic of an arbitrary two-dimensional $\mathbb{F}-$algebra will have this property. Such algebras we will call *generic* and in this paper we first investigate the moduli spaces with respect to various group actions of the set of generic two-dimensional *commutative* $\mathbb{F}-$algebras. A more general class of algebras is the class of stable algebras which, by definition are algebras for which some invariant polynomial does not vanish. In the latter part of the paper (Section 7 and the Appendix) we study moduli spaces of stable two-dimensional commutative $\mathbb{F}-$algebras and their relation with moduli spaces of generic two-dimensional commutative $\mathbb{F}-$algebras. Of course, different moduli spaces with respect to different group actions reveal different features. In [2] (p. 224) Bhargava shows that there is a natural group structure on the $SL(2,\mathbb{Z}) \times SL(2,\mathbb{Z})-$moduli space of $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cubes with "twofold symmetry" of fixed nonzero discriminant. We will prove the analogous result in the context of commutative two-dimensional algebras over a field of characteristic not two or three.

It is natural to consider functions invariant under a group action when looking for coordinates on the corresponding moduli space. If $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed of characteristic not two or three, we show that coordinates on the $GL(2)-$moduli space of generic, two-dimensional, commutative algebras are given by two ratios of "twisted" $GL(2)-$invariant polynomials which are quartic in the structure constants of the algebra. This gives a natural parametrisation of the $GL(2)-$moduli space by $\mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$. A similar result was obtained by Anan'in and Mironov in [3] for stable two-dimensional algebras. However, if $\mathbb{F}$ is not algebraically closed, we show that a point in $\mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$ does not necessarily characterise a class of generic algebras. Another (discrete) invariant, the splitting field of the associated twisted cubic, may be needed to distinguish non-isomorphic algebras but we prove that this is only necessary for equivalence classes of algebras with the property that their moduli lie on a degenerate elliptic curve Γ_{Cardano} in $\mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$ whose equation we give explicitly (*cf* Eq.[4.26]).

The parametrisation above has the advantage that many intrinsic properties of an algebra are described by simple algebraic conditions on the corresponding moduli. This is a first step to understanding the global "geometry" of moduli space. For example, all equivalence classes of associative algebras correspond to a single point. This is a version of the celebrated result of B. Peirce (completed by his son C. Peirce) [4] which says that there are, up to equivalence, seven two-dimensional real associative algebras (not necessarily commutative). Perhaps more surprisingly, equivalence classes of algebras which admit non-trivial automorphisms are characterised by the fact that their moduli lie on the curve Γ_{Cardano} already introduced above.

The curve Γ_{Cardano} appears naturally in yet another context: if $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed, the $SL(2)-$moduli space of generic two-dimensional commutative algebras is a principal $\mathbb{F}^*-$fibration over its complement in the $GL(2)-$moduli space of generic two-dimensional commutative algebras. In fact, we will realise this fibration as a projective fibration by defining a bijective map from the $SL(2)-$moduli space of *stable commutative* algebras onto a homogeneous algebraic hypersurface in a four-dimensional vector space. This map is a lift of the projective embedding of

the $GL(2)-$moduli space of stable two-dimensional commutative algebras defined in [3].

There is a considerable literature on the subject of two-dimensional algebras, the vast majority of which [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12] is concerned with finding an exhaustive list of normal forms for algebras satisfying varying constraints (commutative, division *etc.*). In most of these articles the base field is $\mathbb{R}$ or algebraically closed but the results of [9, 10] are proved for more general fields. Let us recall that the motivation for [6] was the fact that classifying systems of two quadratic differential equations in two variables is equivalent to classifying two-dimensional commutative algebras.

More relevant to this paper is [3] which, to the best of the authors' knowledge, is the only article to study the structure of moduli space. In this paper Anan'in and Mironov construct explicitly an embedding Φ of $\mathcal{M}$ into the projective space $\mathbb{P}^8$, where $\mathcal{M}$ denotes the $GL(2)-$moduli space of stable, two-dimensional (not necessarily commutative) algebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not two or three. The nine affine coordinates are given by $SL(2)-$invariant polynomials, quartic in the structure constants, and the authors establish that the image of this embedding is exactly the intersection of six quadrics. If $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed there is a link between this paper and some of our results which we now explain. Commutative algebras which are generic in our sense are stable in the sense of [3] (the converse is not true) so it makes sense to restrict Φ to the moduli space of generic commutative algebras. It is easy to see that the image of this restriction is a $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \mathbb{P}^1$ embedded in $\mathbb{P}^8$ and, with respect to a suitable identification of $\mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$ with $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \mathbb{P}^1$ their coordinates and our coordinates are the same. This allows us to show that, in the case of generic commutative algebras, the algebro-geometric singular points of $\mathcal{M}$ identified by Anan'in and Mironov are precisely the points of the projective completion $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text{Cardano}}$ of Γ_{Cardano}. In other words a generic commutative algebra defines a singular point of moduli space in the abstract sense if and only if it admits non-trivial automorphisms. This is also true for any stable commutative algebra as shown in Appendix A, and it would be very interesting to know whether this property remains true for an arbitrary two-dimensional algebra. If $\mathbb{F}$ is not algebraically closed, let us recall, as mentioned above, "discrete" invariants are needed to give a complete parametrisation of the $GL(2)-$moduli space. In Section 6 we lift this projective embedding of the $GL(2)-$moduli space of generic commutative algebras in $\mathbb{P}^2$ to an embedding of the corresponding $SL(2)-$moduli space in a four-dimensional vector space as the set of solutions of an equation of Eisenstein type.

Finally to complete our survey of the literature, let us mention that in the articles [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18] equivalence classes of twisted binary cubics are parametrised by Galois extensions in the case of fields [14] or by cubic rings in the case of $\mathbb{Z}$ [15, 16, 17, 18]. This is relevant to the present paper since, up to equivalence, certain types of algebras are completely determined by their associated twisted cubic.

We now give a more detailed account of the results in this paper. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic not two or three and let V be a two-dimensional $\mathbb{F}-$vector space. We denote by $\mathcal{A}^c$ the set of commutative algebra structures on V, *i.e.*, the set of symmetric bilinear maps from $V \times V$ to V. In Sections 2 and 3 we introduce several "twisted" polynomial invariants on $\mathcal{A}^c$ where by "twisted" polynomial invariant (TPI) we mean a $GL(V)-$equivariant polynomial map

from $\mathcal{A}^c$ to some power of $\Lambda^2(V^*)$ (which from now on we denote by L). The decomposition

$$\mathcal{A}^c \cong V^* \oplus \left(\mathcal{S}^3(V^*) \otimes L^{-1}\right)$$

into $GL(V)-$irreducible summands has three important consequences. Firstly, there are no TPIs of degree less than or equal to three. Secondly, the space of "twisted" binary cubics $\mathcal{S}^3(V^*)\otimes L^{-1}$ appears naturally and, as has already been observed in the literature [14, 13], the description of $GL(V)-$orbits in this space is simpler than the description of $GL(V)-$orbits in the space $\mathcal{S}^3(V^*)$ of "untwisted" binary cubics. Thirdly it allows us to define analogues of the the four classical covariants of binary cubics for $\mathcal{A}^c$. Maybe the most important of these is the discriminant $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)$ of the fundamental cubic Q_m given by $Q_m(v) = m(v,v)\wedge v$ for $m \in \mathcal{A}^c, v \in V$. The set of generic algebras $\mathcal{A}_3^c$ is then defined to be the set of algebras m such that $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)$ is non zero, or equivalently, such that Q_m has three distinct roots in a splitting field. The other three invariants $\tilde{p}_2, \tilde{p}_3$ and Inv are respectively quartic, quartic and sextic in the structure constants. It essentially follows from the fact that the covariants of a binary cubic satisfy the Eisenstein identity [19], that these four invariants also satisfy a kind of Eisenstein identity. Furthermore, it turns out that they also furnish coordinates (Section 4) for the moduli space of generic algebras. In fact we have the following theorems (see Theorems 3.17, 4.19, 4.21, 4.24, 5.4, 4.12, Lemma 4.20)

Theorem 1.1 *Let $m \in \mathcal{A}^c$ (not necessarily generic). The polynomial invariants $\tilde{p}_3(m), \tilde{p}_2(m)$, $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)$ and Inv_m satisfy the following identity in L^3:*

$$27\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)\tilde{p}_3(m)^2 + 4\tilde{p}_2(m)^3 = -2^4\cdot 3^6\ \mathrm{Inv}_m^2\ .$$

Note that this identity (*a fortiori* the four TPIs appearing in it) make sense over any unital commutative ring (*e.g.* the integers). In the case of generic m we give a second proof of the identity based on the fact that $\tilde{p}_3$ and $\tilde{p}_2$ turn out to be symmetric functions of the roots of a "modular cubic" (see Corollary 4.10).

Theorem 1.2 *If $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ we define $p_2(m), p_3(m) \in \mathbb{F}$ by*

$$p_2(m) = \frac{\tilde{p}_2(m)}{\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)}\ , \qquad p_3(m) = \frac{\tilde{p}_3(m)}{\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)}$$

and the map $\nu : \mathcal{A}_3^c/GL(V) \to \mathbb{F}\times\mathbb{F}$ by

$$\nu(m) = (p_3(m), p_2(m))\ .$$

The Cardano curve is given by

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{Cardano}} = \left\{(p_3,p_2)\in\mathbb{F}\times\mathbb{F}\quad s.t.\quad 27p_3^2+4p_2^3=0\right\}\ , \tag{1.1}$$

and we denote by $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ a splitting field of Q_m.

(i) The map ν is surjective.

(ii) *Let* $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ *be such that* $\nu(m_1), \nu(m_2) \notin \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}$. *Then there exists* $g \in GL(V)$ *s.t.* $g \cdot m_1 = m_2$ *iff*

$$\nu(m_1) = \nu(m_2) \ .$$

(iii) *Let* $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ *be such that* $\nu(m_1), \nu(m_2) \in \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}$. *Then there exists* $g \in GL(V)$ *s.t.* $g \cdot m_1 = m_2$ *iff*

$$\nu(m_1) = \nu(m_2) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathbb{F}_{Q_m} \cong \mathbb{F}_{Q_{m'}} \ .$$

(iv) *Let* $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$.

(a) *If* $\nu(m) \in \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{0\}$ *then* $[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] \leq 2$. *Conversely, if* $(p_3, p_2) \in \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{0\}$ *and* $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F}$ *is an extension of degree at most two, then there exists* $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ *such that* $\nu(m) = (p_3, p_2)$ *and* $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} \cong \mathbb{K}$.

(b) *If* $\nu(m) = (0,0)$ *then* $[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 1, 2, 3$ *or* 6. *Conversely, if* $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F}$ *is a Galois extension of degree at most six, there exists* $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ *such that* $\nu(m) = (0,0)$ *and* $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} \cong \mathbb{K}$.

(v) *Let* $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$. *Then* m *is associative iff* $(p_3(m), p_2(m)) = (16, -12)$.

(vi) *The "split" automorphism group* $\widetilde{\text{Aut}}(m)$ *(see Corollary 4.12) of* $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ *is given by*

$$\widetilde{\text{Aut}}(m) \cong \begin{cases} \{\mathbf{1}\} & \text{if } \nu(m) \notin \Gamma_{Cardano} \ , \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } \quad \nu(m) \in \Gamma_{Cardano} \quad \textit{and} \quad \nu(m) \neq (0,0) \ , \\ S_3 & \text{if } \nu(m) = (0,0) \ . \end{cases}$$

The main idea of the proof is to find a normal form for any generic algebra, which we call a fundamental triple, and prove its uniqueness (see Section 4.2).

Division algebras have always played a special rôle in mathematics and in section 5 we obtain the following characterisation of generic two-dimensional commutative division algebras in terms of our moduli.

Theorem 1.3 *Let* $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$.

(i) *If* $\big(p_3(m), p_2(m)\big) \notin \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}$, *then* m *is a division algebra iff*

$$-3(p_2(m) - p_3(m) + 1)(-27p_3^2(m) - 4p_2^3(m))$$

is not a square in $\mathbb{F}$.

(ii) *If* $\big(p_3(m), p_2(m)\big) \in \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{0\}$, *write* $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} = \mathbb{F}(\sqrt{a})$ *where* $a \in \mathbb{F}$. *Then* m *is a division algebra iff*

$$-3a(p_2(m) - p_3(m) + 1)$$

is not a square in $\mathbb{F}$.

(iii) *If* $\big(p_3(m), p_2(m)\big) = (0,0)$ *then* m *is a division algebra iff* -3 *is not a square in the splitting field* $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ *of* Q_m.

In Section 6 we give moduli for the action of $SL(V)$ on generic two-dimensional commutative algebras. For this we introduce functions invariant under $SL(V)$ but not under $GL(V)$ which distinguish algebras with the same $GL(V)-$moduli. In order to simplify presentation we state here the results only in the case when the field $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed but for the general case, which is considerably more complicated, see Theorem 6.5.

Theorem 1.4 *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an algebraically closed field.*

1. *Let $\mathcal{A}^c_{3g} = \left\{ m \in \mathcal{A}^c_3 \text{ s.t. } \nu(m) \notin \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \right\}$. The map $\hat{\nu}_s : \mathcal{A}^c_{3g}/SL(V) \to \left(\mathbb{F}^2 \setminus \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}\right) \times \left(L \setminus \{0\}\right)$ given by*

$$\hat{\nu}_s(m) = \left(\nu(m), \frac{\text{Inv}_m}{\text{Disc}(Q_m)} \right)$$

   is a bijection.

2. *Let $\mathcal{A}^c_{3C} = \left\{ m \in \mathcal{A}^c_3 \text{ s.t. } \nu(m) \in \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{0\} \right\}$. The map $\hat{\hat{\nu}}_s : \mathcal{A}^c_{3C}/SL(V) \to \left(\Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{0\}\right) \times \left(L^2 \setminus \{0\}\right)$ given by*

$$\hat{\hat{\nu}}(m)_s = (\nu(m), \text{Disc}(Q_m))$$

   is a bijection.

3. *Let $\mathcal{A}^c_{30} = \left\{ m \in \mathcal{A}^c_3 \text{ s.t. } \nu(m) = (0,0) \right\}$. The map $\hat{\nu}_0 : \mathcal{A}^c_{30}/SL(V) \to L^2 \setminus \{0\}$ given by*

$$\hat{\nu}_0(m) = Disc(Q_m)$$

   is a bijection.

In Section 7, using Theorem 1.1, we construct a surjective (bijective if $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed) map from $\mathcal{A}^c_{\text{st}}/SL(V)$ (here $\mathcal{A}^c_{\text{st}}$ is the set of stable two-dimensional commutative algebras) to a closed hypersurface in a four-dimensional vector space. The hypersurface is the set of solutions of what is essentially the classical Eisenstein equation considered as an equation in four variables. The main results can be summarised as follows:

Theorem 1.5 *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be algebraically closed and let*

$$\Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}} = \left\{ (A,B,D,C) \in L^2 \times L^2 \times L^2 \times L^3 \text{ s.t. } 27DA^2 + 4B^3 = -2^4 3^6 C^2 \right\} .$$

1. *The map $\zeta_s : \mathcal{A}^c_{st}/SL(V) \to \Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}}$ given by*

$$\zeta_s(m) = (\tilde{p}_3(m), \tilde{p}_2(m), \text{Disc}(Q_m), \text{Inv}_m) ,$$

   is a bijection.

2. *Let* $\mathbb{F}^*$ *act on* $\Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}}$ *by:*

$$\lambda \cdot (A, B, D, C) = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}A, \frac{1}{\lambda^2}B, \frac{1}{\lambda^2}D, \frac{1}{\lambda^3}C\right) \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{F}^* \ ,$$

and denote by $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}(\Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}})$ *the quotient of* $\Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}}$ *by this action. Then* ζ_s *induces a bijective map* $\zeta_g : \mathcal{A}^c_{st}/GL(V) \to \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}(\Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}})$.

The relationship between moduli spaces of *generic* two-dimensional commutative algebras and *stable* two-dimensional commutative algebras can be summarised in the following commutative cube (Theorem 7.8 and Appendix A).



The front face of the cube involves only two-dimensional *generic* algebras, the back face only two-dimensional *stable* algebras and the maps including the former in the latter can be thought of as "compactifications".

In the final section of this paper we consider the invariant obtained by associating to a commutative algebra m the quadratic form D_m given by the determinant of (left) multiplication. The discriminant of D_m is not just $SL(V)-$invariant but is invariant under the action of a larger group $SL(V) \times SL(V)$ in which it is diagonally embedded. We show that this association establishes a bijection between the set of commutative algebra structures of fixed non-vanishing discriminant Δ modulo the action of $SL(V) \times SL(V)$, and the set of quadratic forms of discriminant Δ modulo the action of $SL(V)$. By pullback of the Gauss composition law this allows us

to endow the set of $SL(V) \times SL(V)-$orbits of fixed discriminant Δ with a group law. In the context of commutative two-dimensional algebras over a field of characteristic not two or three, this result is analogous to a result of Bhargava [2] who exhibited a natural group structure on the $SL(2,\mathbb{Z}) \times SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ moduli space of $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cubes with "twofold" symmetry.

2 Notations and definitions

Throughout this paper:

- $\mathbb{F}$ is a field of characteristic not two or three;
- V is a two-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}$;
- V^* is the dual of V;
- $\mathcal{S}^2(V^*)$ is the set of symmetric bilinear forms on V;
- L is the set of anti-symmetric bilinear forms on V (this is one-dimensional);
- L^{-1} is the dual of L;
- $L^r \otimes L^s$ is always identified with L^{r+s} for any integers r, s.

Definition 2.1

1. *Let $\mathcal{A}^c = \mathcal{S}^2(V^\star) \otimes V$. We think of this as the set of commutative algebra structures on V, that is, the set of bilinear maps m from $V \times V$ to V such that for all $v, w \in V, m(v,w) = m(w,v)$.*

2. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{m \in \mathcal{A}^c$ s.t. m is a field or m is a split field$\}$ (by "m is a split field" we mean that m has two idempotents e_1, e_2 and an identity 1: $m(e_1,e_1) = e_1, m(e_2,e_2) = e_2, m(e_1,e_2) = 0$ and $1 = e_1 + e_2$).*

As usual, the left multiplication operation $L_v : V \to V$ is

$$L_v(m)(w) := m(v,w) \quad \forall v, w \in V, m \in \mathcal{A}^c \ . \tag{2.2}$$

The group $GL(V)$ acts on V by

$$g \cdot m(v,w) = g\Big(m\big(g^{-1}(v), g^{-1}(w)\big)\Big) \ , \quad \forall v, w \in V, m \in \mathcal{A}^c \ . \tag{2.3}$$

In 1881 Benjamin Peirce introduced the notion of "idempotent" [4] in order to classify two-dimensional associative (not necessarily commutative) real algebras. In fact, one can associate to any two-dimenional algebra a (twisted) binary cubic on V whose roots correspond to its idempotents (see also for example [11].

Definition 2.2 *Let m be in $\mathcal{A}^c$. The fundamental binary cubic of m is the function $Q_m : V \to L^{-1}$ defined for all $v \in V$ by*

$$Q_m(v) := m(v,v) \wedge v \ . \tag{2.4}$$

An element $v \in V$ is an idempotent of m iff

$$Q_m(v) = 0 \ . \tag{2.5}$$

3 Twisted polynomial invariants and the twisted Eisenstein identity

In this section, based on the decomposition of $\mathcal{A}^c$ into $GL(V)-$irreducible summands and inspired by the classical theory of covariants of binary cubics, we introduce four invariant polynomial maps from $\mathcal{A}^c$ to powers of L. These four maps satisfy an identity analogous to the classical Eisenstein identify satisfied by the four classical covariants of a binary cubic. Furthermore, as we shall see in Section 6, they also define an embedding (at least if $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed) of the $SL(V)-$moduli space of stable two-dimensional commutative algebras onto a hypersurface in a four-dimensional vector space. This embedding turns out to be a lift of the projective embedding of the $GL(V)-$moduli space of stable two-dimensional commutative algebras defined in [3].

It has been known for a long time that one can associate three covariants to a homogeneous cubic polynomial in two variables [19]. These are polynomial functions of the cubic of which perhaps the most important is the discriminant, and we will now generalise them to the case of "twisted" cubics.

We begin with the discriminant. Recall that the classical discriminant of $P(x,y) = Ax^3 + Bx^2y + Cxy^2 + Dy^3$ is given by

$$P \mapsto 18ABCD + B^2C^2 - 4AC^3 - 4B^3D - 27A^2D^2 \ .$$

This formula defines a quartic function of P which is invariant under special linear transformations but not under a general linear transformation. In order to define a $GL(V)-$invariant "discriminant" we need to consider quartic functions of the cubic P with values in powers of the one dimensional vector space L. Since λ Id acts on cubic polynomials by $1/\lambda^3$ and on L^{-1} by λ^2, it follows that the formula

$$\mathrm{Disc}_c(P) = (18ABCD + B^2C^2 - 4AC^3 - 4B^3D - 27A^2D^2)(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^{\otimes 6}$$

defines a $GL(V)-$equivariant map $\mathrm{Disc}_c : \mathcal{S}^3(V^*) \to L^6$, where $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$ is the dual basis of $\{e_1, e_2\}$. Motivated by this definition of the classical discriminant of a cubic we define Disc: $\mathcal{S}^3(V^*) \otimes L^{-1} \to L^2$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Disc}\Big((Ax^3 + Bx^2y + Cxy^2 + Dy^3)(e_1 \wedge e_2)\Big) = \\ \big(18ABCD + B^2C^2 - 4AC^3 - 4B^3D - 27A^2D^2\big)(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2 \ .\end{aligned}$$

If $m \in \mathcal{A}^c$, we now give the explicit formulæ for Q_m and $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)$ in terms of the structure constants of m. Let (e_1, e_2) be a basis of V with dual basis $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ and $m : V \times V \to V$ be given by:

$$\begin{aligned} m(e_1,e_2) &= ae_1 + be_2 \ , \quad m(e_2,e_2) = ce_1 + de_2 \ , \\ m(e_1,e_2) &= ee_1 + fe_2 \ , \quad m(e_2,e_1) = ee_1 + fe_2 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Then Q_m and $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_m &= \Big(-bx^3 + (a-2f)x^2y + (2e-d)xy^2 + cy^3 \Big)(e_1 \wedge e_2) \ , \qquad (3.7) \\ \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) &= \Big(-36bcfd + 72bcfe + 18bcad - 36bcae + 4f^2d^2 - 16f^2de + 16f^2e^2 - 4fad^2 \\ &\quad +16fade - 16fae^2 + a^2d^2 - 4a^2de + 4a^2e^2 - 4bd^3 + 24bd^2e - 48bde^2 + 32be^3 \\ &\quad +32cf^3 - 48cf^2a + 24cfa^2 - 4ca^3 - 27b^2c^2 \Big)(\varepsilon_1 \wedge \varepsilon_2) \otimes (\varepsilon_1 \wedge \varepsilon_2) \ . \end{aligned}$$

It follows from this that given any binary cubic G there exists m in $\mathcal{A}^c$ such that $Q_m = Ge_1 \wedge e_2$. Recall also that G is irreducible *iff* its splitting field is of degree three or six, and in this case the degree is three *iff* $\mathrm{Disc}(G)$ is a square.

Remark 3.1 *In [3] Anan'in and Mironov (p 4483) attach to any two-dimensional algebra nine "projective coordinates":*

$$[x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3, z_0, z_1, z_2] \ .$$

These are all quartic functions of the structure constants and if the algebra is commutative only x_1, x_2, z_0 do not vanish identically. One can check that the link between our invariant $Disc(Q)$ and z_0 is $Disc(Q) = 81z_0(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2$ where (ϵ_1, ϵ_2) is the basis dual to the basis (e_1, e_2) given by their Eq.[6] (p 4483).

The normalisation of the discriminant above has been chosen so that if m is a split field then $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) \in L^2$ is a perfect square. In fact using Disc, we have a natural parametrisation of field structures on V up to $SL(V)-$equivalence which extends the well-known natural parametrisation by $\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}$ of field structures on V up to $GL(V)-$equivalence:

Proposition 3.2 *Recall that $\mathcal{F} = \{m \in \mathcal{A}^c \ s.t. \ m$ is a field or m is a split field$\}$. Let:*

- $s : L^2 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}$ *be defined by*

  $$s\big(\lambda(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0)\big) = [\lambda] \ ,$$

  for ω_0 any in L. This is clearly independent of the choice of ω_0.

- $\delta : \mathcal{F}/GL(V) \to \mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}$ *be defined by*

  $$\delta([m]) = [z^2] \ ,$$

  where z is any (nonzero) purely imaginary element of m.

- $p : \mathcal{F}/SL(V) \to \mathcal{F}/GL(V)$ *be the natural projection.*

Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}/SL(V) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Disc}} & L^2 \setminus \{0\} \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle p} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle s} \\ \mathcal{F}/GL(V) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2} \end{array}$$

is commutative and the maps Disc and δ are bijections.

Proof. The fact that δ is a bijection is well known (see *e.g.* [1]) and one can check easily that the diagram is commutative.

To prove the surjectivity of Disc, let $x \in L^2 \setminus \{0\}$. Then by the surjectivity of δ there exists $m \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\delta([m]_{GL(V)}) = [x]$. Hence by the definition of s there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$ such that

$$\mathrm{Disc}([m]_{SL(V)}) = \lambda^2 x$$

and from this it follows that

$$\mathrm{Disc}(\frac{1}{\lambda} Id \cdot m) = x \ .$$

This prove that the map Disc is surjective.

To prove the injectivity of Disc, let $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ be such that

$$\mathrm{Disc}([m_1]_{SL(V)}) = \mathrm{Disc}([m_2]_{SL(V)}) \ .$$

Then

$$\delta([m_1]_{GL(V)}) = \delta([m_2]_{GL(V)}) \ ,$$

and by the injectivity of δ there exists $g \in GL(V)$ such that $m_1 = g \cdot m_2$. Thus

$$\mathrm{Disc}(m_1) = \frac{1}{\det(g)^2} \mathrm{Disc}(m_2)$$

from which it follows that $\det(g) = \pm 1$. However, we can always assume that $\det(g) = 1$ since if not, we compose with the non-trivial element of the Galois group which is always of determinant equal to minus one. This prove injectivity. QED

Remark 3.3 *Our convention is the following: if (e_1, e_2) is a basis of V with dual basis $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ and $v_i = x_i e_1 + y_i e_2$ are vectors in V then*

$$(\varepsilon_1 \wedge \varepsilon_2)(v_1, v_2) = (x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1) \ .$$

We now give the decomposition of $\mathcal{A}^c$ into its $GL(V)-$irreducible components and for this we need the following

Definition 3.4 *Let $m \in \mathcal{A}^c$ and let $L_v(m)$ be left multiplication as in (2.2). Then $T_m \in V^*$ and $D_m \in \mathcal{S}^2(V^*)$ are defined by*

$$T_m(v) = \mathrm{Tr}(L_v(m)) \ , \quad D_m(v) = \det(L_v(m)) \ , \ \forall v \in V \ . \tag{3.8}$$

In terms of the structure constants of m (see (3.6)) this gives the formuæ,

$$\begin{aligned} T_m(xe_1 + ye_2) &= (a+f)x + (e+d)y \ , \\ D_m(xe_1 + ye_2) &= (af - be)x^2 + (ad - bc)xy + (ed - cf)y^2 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Proposition 3.5

1. *As $GL(V)-$representations we have:* $\mathcal{A}^c \cong V^* \oplus \Big(\mathcal{S}^3(V^*) \otimes L^{-1}\Big)$.

2. *For $m \in \mathcal{A}^c$ define $m' \in \mathcal{A}^c$ by*

$$m'(v,w) = \frac{1}{3}\Big(T_m(v)w + T_m(w)v\Big) \ .$$

Then

$$m = m' + (m - m') \ ,$$

is the irreducible decomposition of m.

Proof. (1): Let $K = \{m \in \mathcal{A}^c \text{ s.t. } Q_m \equiv 0\}$ and consider the exact sequence of $GL(V)-$representations:

$$\{0\} \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^c \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^3(V^*) \otimes L^{-1} \longrightarrow \{0\} \ .$$

Since the map Q is not identically zero and $\mathcal{S}^3(V^*) \otimes L^{-1}$ is irreducible, it follows that Q is surjective and that K is a two-dimensional representation of $GL(V)$. Define the $GL(V)-$equivariant map $k : V^* \to \mathcal{A}^c$ by

$$k_\alpha(v,w) = \alpha(v)w + \alpha(w)v \ , \ \forall \alpha \in V^*, \forall u, v \in V \ .$$

Since $Q_{k_\alpha}(v) = 2\alpha(v)v \wedge v = 0$, we have $\mathrm{Im}(k_\alpha) \subset K$ and, since V^* is irreducible of the same dimension as K, the map k is an isomorphism of $GL(V)-$representations.

(2): For all $m \in \mathcal{A}^c$, it is evident that $m' = k_{\frac{1}{3}T_m}$ and easily checked that $T_{m'} = T_m$. QED

Remark 3.6 *The space of twisted binary cubics $B = \mathcal{S}^3(V^*) \otimes L^{-1}$ appearing in the above decomposition has already been studied in the literature. In both [13, 14] it was explicitly pointed out that the crucial advantage of B over the space of untwisted binary cubics $\mathcal{S}^3(V^*)$ is that $\lambda \cdot Id_V$ acts by $\frac{1}{\lambda} Id_B$ instead of by $\frac{1}{\lambda^3} Id_{\mathcal{S}^3(V^*)}$ (recall that in [13] the authors are working over $\mathbb{Z}$ whereas in [14] the author is working over over a number field).*

Remark 3.7 *Let $q \in \mathcal{S}^3(V^*) \otimes L^{-1}$ be a "twisted" binary cubic. It follows from the proposition that there exists a unique algebra m such that $Q_m = q$ and $T_m = 0$. Explicitly, if (e_1, e_2) is a basis of V and $q(xe_1 + ye_2) = (\alpha x^3 + \beta x^2 y + \gamma x y^2 + \delta y^3) e_1 \wedge e_2$ in this basis, it is easy to see that:*

$$m(e_1,e_1) = \frac{1}{3}\beta e_1 - \alpha e_2 \ , \quad m(e_2,e_2) = \delta e_1 - \frac{1}{3}\gamma e_2 \ , \quad m(e_1,e_2) = \frac{1}{3}\gamma e_1 - \frac{1}{3}\beta e_2 \ .$$

In the rest of this paper an important rôle will be played by twisted polynomial invariants (TPI) on $\mathcal{A}^c$. A basic example of a TPI is the quartic polynomial Disc: $\mathcal{A}^c \to L^2$ and this motivates the following definition:

Definition 3.8 *A twisted polynomial invariant (TPI) of degree $(2k,k)$ on $\mathcal{A}^c$ is a degree $2k$ polynomial map $P:\mathcal{A}^c\mapsto L^k$ which is $GL(V)-$equivariant, i.e., P is an element of $\mathcal{S}^{2k}(\mathcal{A}^{*c})\otimes L^k$ which is fixed by the action of $GL(V)$.*

Using Proposition 3.5 we can adapt the classical theory of polynomial invariants of binary cubics to construct TPIs as follows. Setting $B=\mathcal{S}^3(V^*)\otimes L^{-1}$, the decomposition $\mathcal{A}^c=V^*\oplus B$ implies the $GL(V)-$equivariant decomposition of degree $(2k,k)$ polynomials on $\mathcal{A}^c$:

$$\mathcal{S}^{2k}(\mathcal{A}^{c*})\otimes L^k=\bigoplus_{m+n=2k}\mathcal{S}^m(V)\otimes\mathcal{S}^n(B^*)\otimes L^k\ .$$

Recall that there is an $GL(V)-$equivariant isomorphism

$$V\cong V^*\otimes L^{-1}$$

given by:

$$i_\alpha b\longleftarrow\!\shortmid\ \alpha\otimes b\qquad\forall\alpha\in V^*\ ,\ b\in L^{-1}\ ,$$

which induces an $GL(V)-$equivariant isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{S}^m(V)\otimes\mathcal{S}^n(B^*)\otimes L^k\cong\mathcal{S}^m(V^*)\otimes L^{k-m}\otimes\mathcal{S}^n(B^*)\ .$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}^m(V)\otimes\mathcal{S}^n(B^*)\otimes L^p\cong\mathcal{S}^m(V^*)\otimes L^{p-m}\otimes\mathcal{S}^n(B^*)\ .$$

Hence TPIs of degree $(2k,k)$ are described by the $GL(V)-$equivariant isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{S}^{2k}(\mathcal{A}^{c*})\otimes L^k=\bigoplus_{m+n=2k}\mathcal{S}^m(V^*)\otimes\mathcal{S}^n(B^*)\otimes L^{k-m}\ . \tag{3.10}$$

Now we recall that the algebra of classical covariants of the space of binary cubics $\mathcal{S}^3(V^*)$ is the algebra of $GL(V)-$equivariant polynomial maps $f:\mathcal{S}^3(V^*)\to\mathcal{S}(V^*)$, *i.e.*, the $GL(V)-$invariant elements of

$$\mathcal{S}\big((\mathcal{S}^3(V^*))^*\otimes\mathcal{S}(V^*)\big)=\mathcal{S}(B^*)\otimes\mathcal{S}(L^{-1})\otimes\mathcal{S}(V^*)\ .$$

Hence by (3.10) each classical covariant suitably adapted to our situation will give rise to a TPI. For this we need the following definition:

Definition 3.9 *Let E be a vector space, let $\alpha\in V^*$ and let $P\in\mathcal{S}^k(V^*)\otimes E$. Then we denote by $P(\alpha)$ the element of $L^k\otimes E$ defined by:*

$$P(\alpha)=P(v)\otimes\omega^k\ , \tag{3.11}$$

where $v\in V$ and $\omega\in L$ are chosen so that $\alpha=i_v\omega$. One easily checks that this definition is independent of the choice of such pair (v,ω).

Let us illustrate this with: $\alpha = T_m$, $P = f(Q_m)$ where $m \in \mathcal{A}^c$ and $f : B \mapsto S^k(V^*) \otimes L^p$ is a polynomial function. In the basis of (3.6) we have

$$T_m(xe_1 + ye_2) = (a+f)x + (e+d)y \ ,$$

in other words,

$$T_m = (a+f)\epsilon_1 + (e+d)\epsilon_2 \ .$$

Hence

$$T_m = i_{\big((e+d)e_1 - (a+f)e_2\big)}\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2 \ ,$$

and

$$f(Q_m)(T_m) = f(Q_m)\big((e+d)e_1 - (a+f)e_2\big) \otimes (\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^{\deg(f)} \ . \tag{3.12}$$

Note that the function $f(Q_m)(T_m)$ is of bidegree $(k, \deg(f))$ (see (3.10)). We now give explicit formulæ for the TPIs on $\mathcal{A}^c$ which are obtained by taking for f in (3.12) the classical covariants of a binary cubic [19].

The simplest example is obtained by taking for f the covariant Disc: $B \to L^2$ (corresponding to $n = 4, m = 0, k = 2$ in (3.10)) which gives rise to $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)(T_m) : \mathcal{A}^c \to L^2$ of bidegree $(4, 2)$ (see (3.7) for the explicit formula).

The second example is obtained by taking for f the covariant Id: $B \to S^3(V^*) \otimes L^{-1}$ (corresponding to $m = 3, n = 1, k = 2$ in (3.10)):

Definition 3.10 *If $f : B \to S^3(V^*) \otimes L^{-1}$ is the identity then we define $\tilde{p}_3 : \mathcal{A}^c \to L^2$ of bidegree $(4, 2)$ by*

$$\tilde{p}_3(m) = -8Q_m(T_m) \ .$$

In the basis (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_3(m) \ = \ \Big(& -32fade + 24bde^2 + 24bd^2e + 24cfa^2 + 24cf^2a + 8a^2de \\ & -40fae^2 + 8fad^2 - 40f^2de - 8f^2d^2 - 32f^2e^2 + 16a^2d^2 \\ & -8a^2e^2 + 8bd^3 + 8be^3 + 8cf^3 + 8ca^3\Big)(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Remark 3.11 *In terms of the coordinates of [3] we have $\tilde{p}_3 = 81x_2(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2$ where (ϵ_1, ϵ_2) is the basis dual to the basis (e_1, e_2) given by their Eq.[6] (p 4483).*

We now recall the definition of the the two remaining classical covariants of a twisted binary cubic $Q \in B$.

Definition 3.12 *Let (e_1, e_2) be a basis of V, let x, y be the coordinates of $v \in V$ in this basis and let $Q = Q_1 e_1 \wedge e_2 \in B$.*

1. *The map $\mu : B \to S^2(V^*)$ is defined by taking the Hessian of Q_1:*

$$\mu(Q) = \frac{\partial^2 Q_1}{\partial x^2}\frac{\partial^2 Q_1}{\partial y^2} - \Big(\frac{\partial^2 Q_1}{\partial x \partial y}\Big)^2 .$$

One checks that the quadratic form $\mu(Q)$ does not depend on the choice of (e_1, e_2). In the basis of (3.6) this gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(Q)(xe_1 + ye_2) \;=\; & (-16f^2 + 16fa - 4a^2 + 12bd - 24eb)x^2 \\ & +(-36bc - 8fd + 4ad - 8ae + 16fe)yx \\ & +(16de - 16e^2 + 12ac - 24cf - 4d^2)y^2 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

2. *The map $G : B \to \mathcal{S}^3(V^*)$ is defined by taking the Poisson bracket of Q_1 and μ:*

$$G(Q) = \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial x}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial y}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x} .$$

One checks that the binary cubic $G(Q)$ does not depend on the choice of (e_1, e_2). In the basis of (3.6) this gives

$$\begin{aligned} & G(Q)(xe_1 + ye_2) = \\ & \Big(-144bfe + 72bae + 96f^2a + 72bfd - 36bad - 48fa^2 + 108b^2c - 64f^3 + 8a^3\Big)x^3 \\ & +\Big(48fad - 288bde + 96f^2e + 288be^2 - 12a^2d + 24a^2e \\ & \qquad -108bac + 216bcf - 48f^2d - 96fae + 72bd^2\Big)yx^2 \\ & +\Big(72a^2c - 12ad^2 + 48ade - 48ae^2 + 96fe^2 - 96fde \\ & \qquad -288fac + 288cf^2 + 24fd^2 - 108bcd + 216bce\Big)y^2x \\ & +\Big(72dcf + 72eac - 144ecf - 36dac + 96de^2 + 108c^2b + 8d^3 - 64e^3 - 48d^2e\Big)y^3 \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Note that these covariants are invariant under the action of $GL(V)$ whereas the classical covariants of (untwisted) binary cubics are only invariant under the action of $SL(V)$. This means that that we have to slightly adapt the Eisenstein identity satisfied by the classical covariants to our situation.

Proposition 3.13 *The values of the covariants Disc, Id, μ, G at any v in V satisfy the Eisenstein identity*

$$16 \times 27\mathrm{Disc}(Q)Q(v)^2 + \mu(Q)(v)^3 = -G(Q)(v)^2 .$$

Proof. A straightforward consequence of the classical Eiseinstein equation [19]. QED

Taking for f in (3.12) the covariants μ and G we obtain two new TPIs.

Definition 3.14

1. *If $f : B \to S^2(V^*)$ is the covariant μ we define $\tilde{p}_2 : \mathcal{A}^c \to \mathcal{S}^2(V^*)$ of bidegree $(4,2)$ by*

$$\tilde{p}_2(m) = \mu(Q_m)(T_m) \ .$$

In the basis of (3.6) this gives

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{p}_2(m) \ = \ & \Big(36bcfd + 36bcfe + 36bcad + 36bcae + 60fade - 36bde^2 \\ & -36cf^2a + 12a^2de - 24fae^2 + 12fad^2 - 24f^2de - 12f^2d^2 \\ & -48f^2e^2 - 12a^2d^2 - 12a^2e^2 + 12bd^3 - 24be^3 - 24cf^3 + 12ca^3\Big)(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2 \ .\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

2. *If $f : B \to S^6(V^*)$ is the covariant G we define Inv: $\mathcal{A}^c \to \mathcal{S}^3(V^*)$ of bidegree $(6,3)$ by*

$$\mathrm{Inv}_m = \frac{1}{54} G(Q_m)(T_m) \ .$$

In the basis of (3.6) this gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Inv}_m \ = \ & \Big(-2a^3cdf - 4a^3cef - 6a^2bc^2f + 6b^2cde^2 - 6a^2de^2f \\ & +4bd^3ef - 12bde^3f + 12acef^3 - 8de^2f^3 + 6a^2bce^2 \\ & +2acdf^3 + 4a^2e^3f - 2abd^4 - 4abe^4 + 2ad^3f^2 + 8ae^3f^2 \\ & +2b^2cd^3 + 2b^2ce^3 - 2bc^2f^3 - 8be^4f + 4cdf^4 + 8cef^4 \\ & -4d^2ef^3 - 2abde^3 + 6abd^2e^2 - 2a^2d^3f - 2a^3de^2 + 2a^4cd \\ & -2a^3bc^2 + 2a^3d^2e + 6b^2cd^2e + 2abd^3e - 6bcd^2f^2 + 6ad^2ef^2 \\ & -6abc^2f^2 - 6bcdef^2 + 6abce^2f - 6abcd^2f + 6a^2bcde - 6a^2cdf^2\Big) \times (\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^3 \ .\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Remark 3.15 *In terms of the coordinates of [3] we have $\tilde{p}_2 = 81x_1(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2$ where (ϵ_1, ϵ_2) is the basis dual to the basis (e_1, e_2) given by their Eq.[6] (p 4483).*

Remark 3.16 *In contrast to $Disc(Q), \tilde{p}_3$ and $\tilde{p}_2$ which are quartic in the structure constants and correspond to coordinates in [3], the invariant Inv is sextic and is not one of the coordinates in [3]. It turns out that these four invariants satisfy a twisted Eisentein identity (see below) which is essential to our parametrisation of the $SL(V)-$moduli space of commutative algebras in Section 6.*

We now prove the twisted Eisenstein identity mentioned above. This will be a consequence of Proposition 3.13.

Theorem 3.17 *Let $m \in \mathcal{A}^c$. Then as elements of $\Lambda^2(V^*)^6$ we have the identity:*

$$27\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)\tilde{p}_3(m)^2 + 4\tilde{p}_2(m)^3 = -(4 \times 27)^2 \ \mathrm{Inv}_m^2 \ . \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{p}_3(m) &= -8Q_m(T_m) \ , \\ \tilde{p}_2(m) &= \mu(Q_m)(T_m) \ , \\ \mathrm{Inv}_m &= \frac{1}{54}G(Q_m)(T_m) \ ,\end{aligned}$$

the theorem follows from Proposition 3.13.

QED

To conclude this section we introduce one more TPI on $\mathcal{A}^c$ which, although it can be expressed in terms of the polynomial invariants above (Proposition 3.19), is of interest in its own right and will be useful later.

Definition 3.18 *Let $m \in \mathcal{A}^c$ and let L_v be the left multiplication by $v \in V$. Define the quartic twisted polynomial invariant* $\mathrm{Disc}\circ D : \mathcal{A}^c \to L^2$ by

$$\mathrm{Disc} \circ D_m(v) = \mathrm{Disc}(\det(L_v)) \ .$$

In the basis of (3.6) we have

$$\mathrm{Disc}(D_m) = \Big(a^2d^2 - 2bcad + b^2c^2 - 4fade + 4cf^2a + 4bde^2 - 4bcfe\Big)(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2 \quad (3.19)$$

The relationship between $\mathrm{Disc}(D)$ and the TPIs appearing in the twisted Eisenstein identity is given by the following proposition for which we omit the proof.

Proposition 3.19 *Let $m \in \mathcal{A}^c$. Then as elements of L^2 we have the identity*

$$27\mathrm{Disc}(D_m) = \tilde{p}_3(m) - \tilde{p}_2(m) - \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) \ .$$

Remark 3.20 *All of the TPIs appearing in the identities of Theorem 3.17 and Proposition 3.19 are defined over $\mathbb{Z}$ and hence the identities themselves are valid over $\mathbb{Z}$.*

Finally let us point out that one can always generate "new" TPIs from "old" TPIs by applying to them natural operations on $\mathcal{A} = V^* \otimes V^* \otimes V$, *i.e.*, elements of $GL(\mathcal{A})$ which commute with the action of $GL(V)$. For example in this way, the invariant D will generate three (in general) linearly independent invariants, say D, D', D''. These are essentially the three quadratic forms introduced by Bhargava in [2]. If the multiplication is commutative it turns out there are only three possiblities: (i) $D = D' = D''$; (ii) $D = D' = -D''$; (iii) $D = D'$ and D'' independent of D. Explicitly, in the basis of (3.6) with $v = xe_1 + ye_2$, we have the following formulæ

$$\begin{aligned}D_m(v) &= (af - be)x^2 + (ad - bc)xy + (ed - cf)y^2 \ , \\ D''_m(v) &= (-f^2 + bd)x^2 + (2ef - ad - bc)xy + (-e^2 + ac)y^2 \ .\end{aligned}$$

Notice that if $T_m = 0$ $a + f = d + e = 0$ and hence $D_m = D''_m$. Bhargava observed an analogous property for cubes with "twofold symmetry" or "threefold symmetry" [2], p. 225.

In a forthcoming paper we will investigate invariants of arbitrary two-dimensional algebras in more detail.

4 Moduli space of generic algebras with respect to the action of $GL(V)$

4.1 Résumé and comparison with the results of Anan'in and Mironov

In this section we consider the set of generic commutative algebras

$$\mathcal{A}_3^c = \Big\{ m \in \mathcal{A}^c \text{ s.t. } \operatorname{Disc}(Q_m) \neq 0 \Big\} ,$$

the set of stable commutative algebras [3]

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{st}}^c = \Big\{ m \in \mathcal{A}^c \text{ s.t. } \exists \ SL(V) - \text{invariant polynomial } F \not\equiv 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{A}^c \text{ s.t. } F(m) \neq 0 \Big\} \quad (4.20)$$

and especially their moduli spaces

$$\mathcal{M}_3^c = \mathcal{A}_3^c / GL(V) , \quad \mathcal{M}_{\text{st}}^c = \mathcal{A}_{\text{st}}^c / GL(V) .$$

Commutative algebras which are generic are stable but the converse is not true (Appendix A). Recall that $\tilde{p}_2(m), \tilde{p}_3(m)$ and $\operatorname{Disc}(Q_m)$ are obtained by evaluation of the three classical covariants of the fundamental cubic Q_m of m at the "trace" T_m (see Definition 3.4) and hence the functions p_2, p_3

$$p_3(m) = \frac{\tilde{p}_3(m)}{\operatorname{Disc}(Q_m)} , \quad p_2(m) = \frac{\tilde{p}_2(m)}{\operatorname{Disc}(Q_m)} , \quad (4.21)$$

are well defined on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_3^c$ and take their values in $\mathbb{F}$. For $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ we introduce the following notations:

1. $\hat{\nu}(m) = (p_3(m), p_2(m))$;
2. $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ is the splitting field of Q_m (this is well defined up to equivalence of field extensions);
3. $\Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} = \Big\{ (a,b) \in \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \text{ s.t. } 27a^2 + 4b^3 = 0 \Big\}$;
4. $\mathcal{E}_k$ denotes the set of equivalences classes of extensions of degree at most k which are isomorphic to splitting fields of cubic polynomials.

The essential result of this section can now be summarised in the

Theorem 4.1 *Let m, m' be in $\mathcal{A}_3^c$.*

(i) There exists a non-trivial automorphism of m iff $\hat{\nu}(m) \in \Gamma_{Cardano}$.

(ii) Suppose $\hat{\nu}(m), \hat{\nu}(m') \notin \Gamma_{Cardano}$. Then there exists $g \in GL(V)$ such that $m' = g \cdot m$ iff $\hat{\nu}(m) = \hat{\nu}(m')$ and $\hat{\nu}$ establishes a bijection of $\mathcal{M}_3^c \setminus \hat{\nu}^{-1}(\Gamma_{Cardano})$ with $\mathbb{F}^2 \setminus \Gamma_{Cardano}$.

(iii) Suppose $\hat{\nu}(m), \hat{\nu}(m') \in \Gamma_{Cardano}$. Then exists $g \in GL(V)$ such that $m' = g \cdot m$ iff $\hat{\nu}(m) = \hat{\nu}(m')$ and $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} \cong \mathbb{F}_{Q'_m}$.

(iii.1) The map $(\hat{\nu}, \mathbb{F}_{Q_\bullet})$ establishes a bijection between $\hat{\nu}^{-1}\big(\Gamma_{Cardano} \setminus \{0\}\big)$ and $\big(\Gamma_{Cardano} \setminus \{0\}\big) \times \mathcal{E}_2$;

(iii.2) The map $\mathbb{F}_{Q_\bullet}$ establishes a bijection between $\hat{\nu}^{-1}\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_6$;

Remark 4.2 *Note that $27p_3(m)^2 + 4p_2(m)^3$ is minus the discrimant of the cubic $x^3 + p_2(m)x - p_3(m)$. By Theorem 3.17 this equals $-2^4 \cdot 3^6 \cdot Inv_m^2 / Disc(Q_m)^3$.*

If $\mathbb{F}$ is an *algebraically closed* field, Anan'in and Mironov [3] constructed an embedding $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{P}^8$ of $\mathcal{M}$, the $GL(V)-$moduli space of *stable* two-dimensional algebras, in the projective space $\mathbb{P}^8$. By Remarks 3.1, 3.11 and 3.15 the restriction of Φ to $\mathcal{M}_3^c$ is given by

$$\Phi(m) = [\tilde{p}_2(m), \tilde{p}_3(m), 0, 0, 0, \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m), 0, 0] \tag{4.22}$$

which clearly defines an embedding (also denoted Φ) of $\mathcal{M}_3^c$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$:

$$\Phi(m) = [\tilde{p}_2(m), \tilde{p}_3(m), \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)] \ . \tag{4.23}$$

It follows from the definition of $\mathcal{A}_3^c$ that

$$\Phi\Big(\mathcal{M}_3^c\Big) = \Big\{ [a, b, c] \in \mathbb{P}^2 \ \text{ s.t. } \ c \neq 0 \Big\} \ .$$

Hence we can think of the map $\hat{\nu} : \mathcal{M}_3^c \to \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$ of Theorem 4.1 given by

$$\hat{\nu}(m) = \left(\frac{\tilde{p}_3(m)}{\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)}, \frac{\tilde{p}_2(m)}{\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)} \right) = (p_3(m), p_2(m)) \ ,$$

as providing two affine coordinates on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_3^c$.

In order to prove the "projective" versions Theorem 4.1 we need to consider the homogenisation of $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Cardano}}$:

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{Cardano}} = \Big\{ [a, b, c] \in \mathbb{P}^2 \ \text{ s.t. } \ 27ca^2 + 4b^3 = 0 \Big\} \ .$$

We will show in Appendix A that if $\Phi(m) \notin \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{Cardano}}$ then $\Phi(m)$ uniquely determines the equivalence class of the *stable* commutative algebra m. What is more, we will give a complete set of discrete invariants which distinguish stable commutative algebras m, m' such that $\Phi(m) = \Phi(m') \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{Cardano}}$.

Finally to complete this section let us point out that, as we shall see in Sections 6 and 7, the map $\zeta : \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{st}}^c / SL(V) \to W$ (here W is a suitable four-dimensional vector space) given by

$$\zeta(m) = (\tilde{p}_3(m), \tilde{p}_2(m), \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m), \mathrm{Inv}_m) \ ,$$

defines an embedding (at least if $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed) of the $SL(V)-$moduli space of stable, commutative, two-dimensional algebras onto the Eisenstein hypersurface

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{Eisenstein}} = \Big\{ (a, b, c, d) \in W \ \text{ s.t. } \ 27ca^2 + 4b^3 + 2^4 3^6 d^2 = 0 \Big\} \ .$$

Since $(\tilde{p}_3(m), \tilde{p}_2(m), \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)) \neq (0,0,0)$, one can define the map $\pi : \Gamma_{\mathrm{Eisenstein}} \to \mathbb{P}^2$ given by

$$\pi\Big(\tilde{p}_3(m), \tilde{p}_2(m), \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m), \mathrm{Inv}_m\Big) = [\tilde{p}_3(m), \tilde{p}_2(m), \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)] \ ,$$

(some care must be taken to make sense of this) and then

$$\pi^{-1}\Big(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{Cardano}}\Big) = \left\{ (a,b,c,d) \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Eisenstein}} \ \text{ s.t. } \ d = 0 \right\} .$$

4.2 Fundamental triples and the invariants p_2, p_3

The main tool in the proof of Theorem 4.1 will be the fact that one can associate to m in $\mathcal{A}_3^c$ a unique triple of vectors in $V_{\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}}$ (*i.e.* V tensored by the splitting field $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ of Q_m) together with a unique triple of elements of $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$. Very surprisingly, it turns out that the two polynomial invariants p_2 and p_3 in (4.21) are essentially the elementary symmetric functions of these three numbers. Recall that since $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) \neq 0$, the polynomial Q_m has three distinct roots in its splitting field.

Remark 4.3 *Since Q_m is a cubic, the degree of a splitting field is either one, two, three or six.*

Remark 4.4 *If $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ is a splitting field of Q_m we can write $Q_m = F_1F_2F_3 \otimes b$ with $b \in \Lambda^2(V_{\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}})$ and $F_i \in (V_{\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}})^*$ such that (F_1, F_2, F_3) are pairwise independent. The Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}/\mathbb{F})$ permutes the elements of the set $\mathcal{R} = \big\{\mathrm{Ker}(F_1), \mathrm{Ker}(F_2), \mathrm{Ker}(F_3)\big\}$ and this gives a homomorphism to the permutation group $S_3(\mathcal{R})$. Note that although the factorisation of Q_m is not unique, the set $\mathcal{R}$ is uniquely defined by Q_m.*

Theorem 4.5 *Let $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$, let Q_m be its fundamental cubic and let $V_{\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}} = V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$, where $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ is the splitting field of Q_m.*

(i) There exists a triple $((f_1,\gamma_1),(f_2,\gamma_2),(f_3,\gamma_3)) \in (V_{\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}} \times \mathbb{F}_{Q_m})^3$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} a) & (f_1,f_2,f_3) \text{ are pairwise linearly independent;} \\ b) & f_1+f_2+f_3 = 0 \ , \\ c) & f_i^2 = \gamma_i f_i \ , \\ d) & \gamma_1+\gamma_2+\gamma_3 = -1 \ . \end{array}$$

(ii) The triple of a) is unique up to permutation.

Proof. By hypothesis there exist three non-zero linear forms $F_1, F_2, F_3 \in V^*_{\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}}$ which are pairwise independent and $b \in \Lambda^2(V_{\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}})$ such $Q_m(v) = F_1(v)F_2(v)F_3(v)b$ for all v in V. The vector space

$$B = \Big\{ (f_1,f_2,f_3) \in \mathrm{Ker}(F_1) \times \mathrm{Ker}(F_2) \times \mathrm{Ker}(F_3) : f_1+f_2+f_3 = 0 \Big\} \ ,$$

is one-dimensional. Since $Q_m(v) = m(v,v) \wedge v$ and $Q_m(f_i) = 0$, there exist three linear forms $\gamma_i : \mathrm{Ker}(F_i) \to \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ such that

$$m(f_i,f_i) = \gamma_i(f_i) f_i \ .$$

We define $\gamma : B \to \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ by

$$\gamma(f_1, f_2, f_3) = \gamma_1(f_1) + \gamma_2(f_2) + \gamma_3(f_3) \ .$$

Lemma 4.6 *The map γ is non-zero.*

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that $\gamma \equiv 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} m(f_1, f_2) &= \frac{1}{2}\big(m(f_1 + f_2, f_1 + f_2) - m(f_1, f_1) - m(f_2, f_2)\big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\big(m(f_3, f_3) - m(f_1, f_1) - m(f_2, f_2)\big) = \frac{1}{2}\big(\gamma_3(f_3)f_3 - \gamma_1(f_1)f_1 - \gamma_2(f_2)f_2\big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\gamma_2(f_2)f_1 + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_1(f_1)f_2 \ . \end{aligned}$$

But now for any vector $v = xf_1 + yf_2$ we have

$$m(v, v) = x^2\gamma_1(f_1)f_1 + y^2\gamma_2(f_2) + xy(\gamma_2(f_2)f_1 + \gamma_1(f_1)f_2) = (x\gamma_1(f_1) + y\gamma_2(f_2)v \ .$$

From this $Q_m(v) = 0$ for all $v \in V_{\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}}$ which is a contradiction. QED

By Lemma 4.6 there exists a unique $(f_1, f_2, f_3) \in B$ such that $\gamma(f_1, f_2, f_3) = -1$ and this completes the proof of the first part of the theorem.

To prove unicity assume now that one can find another triple $((f_1', \gamma_1'), (f_2', \gamma_2'), (f_3', \gamma_3')) \in (V_{\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}} \times \mathbb{F}_{Q_m})^3$ satisfying

$$\begin{array}{ll} i) & (f_1', f_2', f_3') \text{ pairwise linearly independent,} \\ ii) & f_1' + f_2' + f_3' = 0 \ , \\ iii) & f_i'^2 = \gamma_i' f_i' \ , \\ iv) & \gamma_1' + \gamma_2' + \gamma_3' = -1 \ . \end{array}$$

Since the f_i are idempotent and pairwise linearly independent, renumbering if necessary, there exist non-zero $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$f_i' = \lambda_i f_i \ .$$

Substituting in ii) gives

$$\lambda_1 f_1 + \lambda_2 f_2 + \lambda_3 f_3 = 0 \ ,$$

and since $f_3 = -f_1 - f_2$ and (f_1, f_2) is a basis of $V_{\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}}$, we get $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda$.

Substituting $f_i' = \lambda f_i$ in iii) gives $\gamma_i' = \lambda\gamma_i$ and finally, substituting in iv), gives $\lambda = 1$. QED

Remark 4.7 *The Galois group of the extension $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}/\mathbb{F}$ acts on $V_{\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}}$ by automorphism and hence by Theorem 4.5 (ii), each element induces a permutation of the triple $((f_1, \gamma_1), (f_2, \gamma_2), (f_3, \gamma_3))$.*

As an application of Theorem 4.5 we now characterise the possible automorphism groups of $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$. For this we need the notion "idemvalue" which is well defined by Theorem 4.5

Definition 4.8 *The fundamental triple of $m \in \mathcal{A}_c^c$ is the triple $((f_1,\gamma_1),(f_2,\gamma_2),(f_3,\gamma_3))$ associated to m by Theorem 4.5. The idemvalues of m are $\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3$ and the reduced idemvalues of m are $\Delta_i = 3\gamma_i + 1$. Note that*

$$\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 = 0 \ .$$

Theorem 4.9 *Let $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ and let $\Delta_1,\Delta_2,\Delta_3$ be the reduced idemvalues. Then the polynomial invariants $p_2(m), p_3(m)$ are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(m) &= \Delta_1\Delta_2 + \Delta_2\Delta_3 + \Delta_3\Delta_1 \ , \\ p_3(m) &= \Delta_1\Delta_2\Delta_3 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In the basis (f_1,f_2) the multiplication table is given by

$$m(f_1,f_1) = \frac{\Delta_1 - 1}{3} f_1 \ , \quad m(f_2,f_2) = \frac{\Delta_2 - 1}{3} f_2 \ , \quad m(f_1,f_2) = \frac{2+\Delta_2}{6} f_1 + \frac{2+\Delta_1}{6} f_2 \ . \tag{4.24}$$

A straightforward but long computation gives (see (3.7), (3.19) and (3.17))

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)(v_1,v_2,v_3,v_4) &= v_{12}v_{34} \ , \\ \mathrm{Disc}(D_m)(v_1,v_2,v_3,v_4) &= \frac{1}{27}(\Delta_1 - 1)(\Delta_2 - 1)(\Delta_3 - 1)v_{12}v_{34} \ , \\ \mathrm{Inv}_m(v_1,v_2,v_3,v_4,v_5,v_6) &= -\frac{1}{108}(\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)(\Delta_2 - \Delta_3)(\Delta_3 - \Delta_1)v_{12}v_{34}v_{56} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

where $v_{ij} = x_iy_j - x_jy_i$ and hence (see (3.13) and (3.16))

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(m) &= \Delta_1\Delta_2 + \Delta_2\Delta_3 + \Delta_3\Delta_1 \ , \\ p_3(m) &= \Delta_1\Delta_2\Delta_3 \ . \end{aligned}$$

QED

Corollary 4.10 *(Alternative proof of Theorem 3.17 for a generic algebra.) Let m be in $\mathcal{A}_3^c$. Then as elements of L^6 we have the identity*

$$27\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)\tilde{p}_3(m)^2 + 4\tilde{p}_2(m)^3 = -2^4 \cdot 3^6 \ \mathrm{Inv}_m^2 \ .$$

Proof. *Since* $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) \neq 0$, *the identity above in L^6 is equivalent to the identity in $\mathbb{F}$*

$$27p_3(m)^2 + 4p_2(m)^3 = -2^4 \cdot 3^6 \frac{\mathrm{Inv}_m^2}{\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)^3} \ ,$$

which, using (4.25), reduces to

$$27p_3(m)^2 + 4p_2(m)^3 = -\Big((\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)(\Delta_2 - \Delta_3)(\Delta_3 - \Delta_1)\Big)^2 \ .$$

This is the classical formula for the discriminant of the cubic polynomial $x^3 + p_2(m)x - p_3(m)$ in terms of its roots. *QED*

Remark 4.11 *It is important to emphasise that the bivectors $f_1 \wedge f_2, f_2 \wedge f_3, f_3 \wedge f_1$ although a priori elements of $\Lambda^2(V_{\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}})$ are in fact elements of L^{-1}. This means that in order to deduce the expression for the invariants above in a basis (e_1, e_2) of V from their expressions in the basis (f_1, f_2) of $V_{\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}}$, one has to express $f_1 \wedge f_2$ in terms of $e_1 \wedge e_2$. When the Δ_i's are distinct this is the formula (4.28) below.*

A simple characterisation of the automorphism group of a generic algebra can be given in terms of the invariants Inv_m and $p_2(m), p_3(m)$. (For alternative calculations of the automorphism group of real division algebras see [11, 20], Proposition 4/Proposition 2.8.)

Corollary 4.12

1. *Let $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$, let $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ be a splitting field of Q_m and let $\widetilde{\mathrm{Aut}}(m) = \{g \in GL(V \otimes \mathbb{F}_{Q_m})$ such that $g \cdot m = m\}$. Then,*

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Aut}}(m) \cong \begin{cases} \{\mathbf{1}\} & \text{if } \mathrm{Inv}_m \neq 0 \ , \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } \mathrm{Inv}_m = 0 \ \ \textit{and} \ \ (p_2(m), p_3(m)) \neq (0,0) \ , \\ S_3 & \text{if } p_2(m) = p_3(m) = 0 \ , \end{cases}$$

   where S_3 is the group of permutations of three objects. Recall that $Inv_m = 0$ iff $27p_3(m)^2 + 4p_2(m)^3 = 0$.

2. *Let $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$, and let $\mathrm{Aut}(m) = \{g \in GL(V)$ such that $g \cdot m = m\}$*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(m) \cong \begin{cases} \{\mathbf{1}\} & \text{if } \mathrm{Inv}_m \neq 0 \ , \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } \mathrm{Inv}_m = 0 \ \ \textit{and} \ \ (p_2(m), p_3(m)) \neq (0,0) \ , \\ S_3 & \text{if } p_2(m) = p_3(m) = 0 \ \textit{and} \ [\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 1, \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } p_2(m) = p_3(m) = 0 \ \textit{and} \ [\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 2, \\ \mathbb{Z}_3 & \text{if } p_2(m) = p_3(m) = 0 \ \textit{and} \ [\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 3, \\ \{1\} & \text{if } p_2(m) = p_3(m) = 0 \ \textit{and} \ [\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 6 \ . \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1): From the formulæ 4.25 and $\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 = 0$ it follows that

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{Inv}_m \neq 0 & \text{if } m \text{ has three distinct reduced idemvalues,} \\ \mathrm{Inv}_m = 0 \\ (p_2(m), p_3(m)) \neq (0,0) & \text{if } m \text{ has two distinct reduced idemvalues,} \\ p_2(m) = p_3(m) = 0 & \text{if } m \text{ has one reduced idemvalue.} \end{cases}$$

The result follows from this and the fact that by Theorem 4.5 any automorphism is equivalent to a permutation of the triple $((f_1, \Delta_1), (f_2, \Delta_2), (f_3, \Delta_3))$.

(2): This is a consequence of Propositions 2.1 and 2.3 in [14]. QED

Corollary 4.13 *Let $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ be such that $Inv_m = 0$ (or, equivalently, $m \in \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}$ in the terminology of Eq.[4.26]). Then there exists g in $\widetilde{Aut}(m)$ such*

1. $g \cdot m = m$;
2. $\det(g) = -1$.

Proof. First note that $-\text{Id}_{V \otimes \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}}$ cannot be an automorphism of m in $\mathcal{A}_3^c$. If so, we would have

$$m(-v, -w) = -m(v, w) \ , \forall v, w \in V \ ,$$

which is impossible. Secondly, by Corollary 4.12(1), the group of automorphisms of m is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{Z}_2$ or S_3. Hence m has a non-trivial automorphism g of square one which cannot be $-\text{Id}_{V \otimes \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}}$ by the above remark. Thus $g \neq \text{Id}_{V \otimes \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}}$, $g \neq -\text{Id}_{V \otimes \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}}$ and $\det(g) = -1$. QED

Remark 4.14 *In [3] the authors identify explicitly the image of the singular locus $Sing(\mathcal{M})$ under the embedding $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{P}^8$. Furthermore, they show that the image of $Sing(Sing(\mathcal{M}))$ is a single point which they also identify. Restricting to generic commutative algebras their results imply*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\nu}\Big(Sing(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{M}_3^c\Big) &= \Gamma_{Cardano} \ , \\ \hat{\nu}\Big(Sing(Sing(\mathcal{M})) \cap \mathcal{M}_3^c\Big) &= (0,0) \in \Gamma_{Cardano} \ , \end{aligned}$$

and restricting to stable commutative algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi\Big(Sing(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{M}_{st}^c\Big) &= \widetilde{\Gamma}_{Cardano} \ , \\ \Phi\Big(Sing(Sing(\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}_{st}^c)\Big) &= [0,0,1] \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{Cardano} \ . \end{aligned}$$

The significance of the Corollary above is that it gives a "geometric" interpretation of the singular locus and of the singular-singular locus. Namely, if m is a generic commutative two-dimensional algebra then:

$$\begin{aligned} [m] &\in Sing(\mathcal{M}) && \text{iff} \quad Aut(m) \neq \{Id\} \ ; \\ [m] &\in Sing(\mathcal{M}) \setminus Sing(Sing(\mathcal{M})) && \text{iff} \quad \widetilde{Aut}(m) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \ ; \\ [m] &\in Sing(Sing(\mathcal{M})) && \text{iff} \quad \widetilde{Aut}(m) = S_3 \ ; \end{aligned}$$

We will give the proof of the "stable" version of this result in the Appendix.

4.3 Moduli space

In this section we give a simple parametrisation of the $GL(V)-$moduli space of generic commutative algebras. When the field $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed the parameter space turns out to be $\mathbb{F}^2$. If $\mathbb{F}$ is not algebraically closed, a point in the plane $\mathbb{F}^2$ does not necessarily characterise a class of algebras, and another (discrete) invariant may be needed to distinguish non-isomorphic algebras which become isomorphic after tensoring with an extension of $\mathbb{F}$.

In order to ease the notation, from now on we write (p_3, p_2) instead of $(p_3(m), p_2(m))$ whenever the choice of m is clear from the context.

Our strategy will be to consider the map $\nu : \mathcal{A}_3^c \to \mathbb{F}^2$ defined by

$$\nu(m) = (p_3, p_2) \ .$$

This factors to a map $\hat{\nu} : \mathcal{M}_3^c \to \mathbb{F}^2$ (*c.f.* (4.21)). Note that in terms of the reduced idemvalues of m, we have $\nu(m) = (\Delta_1\Delta_2\Delta_3, \Delta_1\Delta_2 + \Delta_2\Delta_3 + \Delta_3\Delta_1)$ and so the degenerate elliptic curve

$$\Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} = \left\{ (p_3, p_2) \in \mathbb{F}^2 : \ -27p_3^2 - 4p_2^3 = 0 \right\} \ , \tag{4.26}$$

defined by the vanishing of the discriminant of the cubic $Q_{\text{mod}}(x) = x^3 + p_2 x - p_3$ will play a special rôle. This is because the roots of Q_{mod} are $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3$ and we will call Q_{mod} the modular cubic of the algebra $\mathcal{A}^c$. Note that by Corollary 4.12: $\nu(m) \in \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}$ *iff* the algebra $\mathcal{A}^c$ has a non-trivial automorphism.

We first show that over $\mathbb{F}^2 \setminus \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}$ the map $\hat{\nu}$ is a bijection. Then we will show that over $\Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ there is a point in the moduli space for every class of extensions of $\mathbb{F}$ of degree at most two and and finally that over $(0,0)$ there is a point in the moduli space for every class of Galois extensions of $\mathbb{F}$ of degree one, two, three and six.

4.3.1 Surjectivity of ν

Theorem 4.15

(i) $\nu(g \cdot m) = \nu(m), \forall m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c, \ \forall g \in GL(V)$.

(ii) $\nu : \mathcal{A}_3^c \to \mathbb{F}^2$ *is surjective.*

Proof. Part (i) is a consequence of (4.21).

To prove (ii), let $(p_3, p_2) \in \mathbb{F}^2$. First we suppose that $(p_3, p_2) \notin \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}$, *i.e.*, $-27p_3^2 - 4p_2^3 \neq 0$. Since $-27p_3^2 - 4p_2^3$ is the discriminant of the cubic $P(x) = x^3 + p_2 x - p_3$, this means P has three distinct roots Δ_1, Δ_2 and Δ_3 in a splitting field $\mathbb{K}_1$. Since the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}$ is zero or greater than three and P is of degree three, $\mathbb{K}_1/\mathbb{F}$ is a Galois extension (see *e.g.* Artin [21]).

Lemma 4.16 *Let* $V_{\mathbb{K}_1} = V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{K}_1$. *Then there exists a triplet* $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in V_{\mathbb{K}_1}$ *such that*

(a) (f_1, f_2, f_3) *are pairwise linearly independent;*

(b) $f_1 + f_2 + f_3 = 0$;

(c) $\left\{f_1, f_2, f_3\right\}$ *is stable under the action of the Galois group* $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{K}_1/\mathbb{F})$*;*

(d) *There exists a group homomorphism* $\gamma : \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{K}_1/\mathbb{F}) \to S_3$ *such that for all* $g \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{K}_1/\mathbb{F})$ *and for* $i = 1, 2, 3$*,*

$$g \cdot (f_i, \Delta_i) = (f_{\gamma(g)\cdot i}, \Delta_{\gamma(g)\cdot i}) \ .$$

Proof. Let e_1, e_2 be a basis of V and define the following elements of $V_{\mathbb{K}_1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \Delta_1 e_1 + (\Delta_2\Delta_3 - \frac{p_2}{3})e_2 \ , \\ f_2 &= \Delta_2 e_1 + (\Delta_3\Delta_1 - \frac{p_2}{3})e_2 \ , \\ f_3 &= \Delta_3 e_1 + (\Delta_1\Delta_2 - \frac{p_2}{3})e_2 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

If $\mathbb{K}_1 = \mathbb{F}$, (c) and (d) are evident. If $\mathbb{K}_1 \neq \mathbb{F}$ the Galois group acts by permutations on the indices of the roots and so (c) and (d) are obviously satisfied. Property (b) follows since Δ_1, Δ_2 and Δ_3 are the roots of the polynomial $P(x) = x^3 + p_2 x - p_3$.

We now prove (a). This follows from

$$f_i \wedge f_j = (\Delta_j - \Delta_i)\Big((\Delta_i + \Delta_j)^2 + \frac{p_2}{3}\Big)e_1 \wedge e_2 \ = \frac{1}{3}(\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)(\Delta_2 - \Delta_3)(\Delta_3 - \Delta_1)e_1 \wedge e_2 \tag{4.28}$$

and the fact that the Δ_i are distinct by hypothesis. Hence, we have proved (a) for (f_1, f_2, f_3). QED

Since $f_1, f_2 \in V_{\mathbb{K}_1}$ is a basis we can define a multiplication m on $V_{\mathbb{K}_1}$ by,

$$m(f_1, f_1) = \frac{\Delta_1 - 1}{3} f_1 \ , \quad m(f_2, f_2) = \frac{\Delta_2 - 1}{3} f_2, \quad m(f_1, f_2) = \frac{2 + \Delta_2}{6} f_1 + \frac{2 + \Delta_1}{6} f_2 \ . \tag{4.29}$$

Then a direct calculation shows that in fact we have for all $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ such that $i \neq j$,

$$m(f_i, f_i) = \frac{\Delta_i - 1}{3} f_i, \quad m(f_i, f_j) = \frac{2 + \Delta_j}{6} f_i + \frac{2 + \Delta_i}{6} f_j \ . \tag{4.30}$$

Since for any $g \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{K}_1/\mathbb{F})$ we have

$$g \cdot f_i = f_{\gamma(g)\cdot i} \ , \quad g \cdot \Delta_i = \Delta_{\gamma(g)\cdot i} \ ,$$

it follows from (4.30) that

$$g \cdot m(f_i, f_j) = m(g \cdot f_i, g \cdot f_j)$$

and so

$$g \cdot m(v, w) = m(g \cdot v, g \cdot w) \ , \forall v, w \in V_{\mathbb{K}_1} \ .$$

In particular, since $V \subseteq V_{\mathbb{K}_1}$ is the fixed point set of the Galois group and since $\mathbb{K}_1/\mathbb{F}$ is Galois, this implies that $m(v, w) \in V$ if $v, w \in V$.

It remains to prove that the fundamental cubic of m has three distinct roots. This follows since

$$m(f_i, f_i) = \frac{\Delta_i - 1}{3} f_i \ ,$$

so by (2.5) there are three pairwise independent idempotents and the fundamental cubic (not to be confused with $P(x)$!) indeed has three distinct roots.

To recap we have now shown that if $(p_3, p_2) \notin \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}$ then there exists $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ such that $\nu(m) = (p_3, p_2)$. To complete the proof of (ii) we now consider the case $(p_3, p_2) \in \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}$. This means the cubic $P(x)$ has a multiple root in $\mathbb{F}$ and we have

$$P(x) = x^3 + p_2 x - p_3 = \begin{cases} (x - \frac{3p_3}{2p_2})^2 (x + \frac{3p_3}{p_2}) & \text{if } p_2 \neq 0 \\ x^3 & \text{if } p_2 = 0 \ . \end{cases}$$

Let $(\Delta, \Delta, -2\Delta)$ be the roots of $P(x)$. Choose (e_1, e_2) a basis of V and set,

$$f_1 = e_1 - 2e_2 \ , \quad f_2 = -2e_1 + e_2 \ , f_3 = e_1 + e_2 \ .$$

It is clear that (f_1, f_2, f_3) are pairwise linearly independent and that $f_1 + f_2 + f_3 = 0$. Define the multiplication $m : V \times V \to V$ by

$$m(f_1, f_1) = \frac{\Delta - 1}{3} f_1 \ , \quad m(f_2, f_2) = \frac{\Delta - 1}{3} f_2 \ \ , m(f_1, f_2) = \frac{2 + \Delta}{6} \big(f_1 + f_2\big) \ .$$

One checks that

$$m(f_3, f_3) = \frac{-2\Delta - 1}{3} f_3 \ , \quad m(f_i, f_3) = \frac{2 - 2\Delta}{6} f_i + \frac{2 + \Delta}{6} f_3 \ , i = 1, 2 \ .$$

Thus m has three idempotents f_1, f_2, f_3 and by definition,

$$\nu(m) = (-2\Delta^3, -3\Delta^2) = (p_3, p_2) \ .$$

This complete the proof of (ii). QED

Remark 4.17 *In the proof we showed that the product (4.29) defined a priori on $V_{\mathbb{K}_1}$ in fact defines a product on V because it is compatible with the action of the Galois group $Gal(\mathbb{K}_1/\mathbb{F})$. In order to get explicit formulæ for the products of the e_i, inverting the system (4.27) gives*

$$e_1 = \frac{1}{d}\Big((3\Delta_3\Delta_1 - p_2) f_1 + (p_2 - 3\Delta_2\Delta_3) f_2\Big) \ , e_2 = -\frac{3}{d}\Big(\Delta_2 f_1 - \Delta_1 f_2\Big) \ ,$$

where $d = (\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)(\Delta_2 - \Delta_3)(\Delta_3 - \Delta_1)$ and then (tedious) calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} m(e_1, e_1) &= \frac{1}{3d^2}\Big[\big(-4p_2^3 - 27p_3^2 - 9p_2p_3\big)e_1 + \big(2p_2^3 + 27p_3^2\big)e_2\Big] \ , \\ m(e_2, e_2) &= \frac{1}{d^2}\Big[9p_3 e_1 + 2p_2^2 e_2\Big] \ , \\ m(e_1, e_2) &= \frac{3}{d^2}\Big[-\frac{2}{3}p_2^2 e_1 + \big(-\frac{2}{9}p_2^3 - \frac{3}{2}p_3^2 + p_2p_3\big)e_2\Big] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

It should be noted that

$$d^2 = -27p_3^2 - 4p_2^3 \ ,$$

so that all of the structure constants are rational functions in the moduli (p_3, p_2). Note further that these formulæ are valid in characteristic not two or three but not over $\mathbb{Z}$.

4.3.2 Moduli over $\mathbb{F}^2 \setminus \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}$

We saw in Theorem 4.15 that $\nu(m) \in \mathbb{F}^2$ is an invariant of the equivalence classe of m. We now show that if $\nu(m)$ is not on a Γ_{Cardano} it completely determines the class of m.

Lemma 4.18 *Let $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ with reduced idemvalues $(\Delta_1, \Delta_3, \Delta_3)$ and let $\mathbb{K}$ be a splitting field of $Q_{\text{mod}}(x) = (x-\Delta_1)(x-\Delta_2)(x-\Delta_3)$. If the idemvalues are distinct, then $\mathbb{K}$ is also a splitting field of the fundamental binary cubic Q_m (cf 2.2).*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ be a splitting field of Q_m. By definition, it is always true that the polynomial Q_{mod} splits over any splitting field of Q_m so without loss of generality we can suppose $\mathbb{K} \subset \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$. We now show that if the idemvalues are distinct the converse inclusion is also true.

Let $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}/\mathbb{K})$ be the Galois group of the extension $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}/\mathbb{K}$. By definition, there exist three idempotents $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ and three idemvalues $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3 \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$m(f_i, f_i) = \frac{\Delta_i - 1}{3} f_i \ .$$

The Galois group G acts by automorphism on $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ and maps idempotents to idempotents but fixes the idemvalues $(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3)$. Since the idemvalues are distinct, the Galois group must fix each idempotent because it act by automorphisms. However, the extension $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}/\mathbb{K}$ is Galois (being a splitting field of the separable polynomial Q_m), so the fundamental theorem of Galois theory implies that the fixed point set of G in $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ is exactly $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{K}$. Hence $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in V \otimes \mathbb{K}$ and by (2.5), $Q_m(f_1) = Q_m(f_2) = Q_m(f_3) = 0$ and Q_m splits over $\mathbb{K}$. QED

Perhaps surprisingly, the next theorem shows that just as in the case when $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed [3], the invariant $\nu(m)$ completely determines the $GL(V)-$equivalence classe of m even when $\mathbb{F}$ is not algebraically closed.

Theorem 4.19 *Let $\Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} = \left\{(p_3, p_2) \in \mathbb{F}^2 : 27p_3^2 + 4p_2^3 = 0\right\}$, and let $\mathcal{A}_{3g}^c = \{m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c : \nu(m) \notin \Gamma_{Cardano}\}$. If $m, m' \in \mathcal{A}_{3g}^c$ satisfy $\nu(m) = \nu(m')$ then there exists $g \in GL(V)$ such that $m' = g \cdot m$.*

Proof. Suppose $\nu(m) = \nu(m') \in \mathbb{F}^2 \setminus \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}$ with $m, m' \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$. Let $(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3)$ and $(\Delta_1', \Delta_2', \Delta_3')$ be the idemvalues of m and m' respectively. Since $\nu(m) = \nu(m')$ we have

$$Q_{\text{mod}}(x) = (x-\Delta_1)(x-\Delta_2)(x-\Delta_3) = (x-\Delta_1')(x-\Delta_2')(x-\Delta_3') \ ,$$

and therefore Q_m and $Q_{m'}$ split over $\mathbb{F}_{Q_{\text{mod}}}$ by lemma 4.18. Without loss of generality we can suppose $\Delta_i = \Delta_i'$. By Theorem 4.5 one can find fundamental triples (f_1, f_2, f_3) and (f_1', f_2', f_3') in $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}_{Q_{\text{mod}}}$, such that

$$m(f_i, f_i) = \frac{\Delta_i - 1}{3} f_i \ , \quad m'(f_i', f_i') = \frac{\Delta_i - 1}{3} f_i' \ .$$

The map $h : V_{\mathbb{F}_{Q_{\text{mod}}}} \to V_{\mathbb{F}_{Q_{\text{mod}}}}$ given by $h(f_i) = f_i'$ clearly defines a $\mathbb{F}_{Q_{\text{mod}}}-$algebra isomorphism. By Remark 4.7, if $g \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{Q_{\text{mod}}}/\mathbb{F})$ and $g \cdot \Delta_i = \Delta_j$ then $g \cdot f_i = f_j$ and $g \cdot f_i' = f_j'$. Hence $h \circ g = g \circ h$ and h maps V to V. QED

4.3.3 Moduli over $\Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$

Theorem 4.19 gives a complete set of invariants for isomorphism classes of algebras m such that $\nu(m) \notin \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}$. As we remarked above, this set of invariants is the same whether $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed or not. This is not the case if $\nu(m) \in \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}$.

Suppose now that $\nu(m) \in \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}$. In this case the idemvalues of Q_{mod} are not distinct and hence are in $\mathbb{F}$. Consequently, Lemma 4.18 does not apply, *i.e.*, the splitting field of the fundamental cubic Q_m is not determined by the splitting field of the cubic polynomial Q_{mod}.

Given a binary cubic Q we denote by $\mathbb{F}_Q$ a splitting field of Q.

Lemma 4.20 *Let $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$.*

(i) If $\nu(m) \in \Gamma_{Cardano} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ then $[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 1$ or 2;

(ii) If $\nu(m) = (0,0)$ then $[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 1, 2, 3$ or 6.

Proof. (i): Let $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ be a splitting field of the fundamental cubic, and let $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ be such

$$m(f_1, f_1) = \frac{-2\Delta - 1}{3} f_1 \ , \quad m(f_2, f_2) = \frac{\Delta - 1}{3} f_2 \ , \quad m(f_3, f_3) = \frac{\Delta - 1}{3} f_3 \ .$$

If $g \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}/\mathbb{F})$ then g permutes $\Big((f_1, -2\Delta), (f_2, \Delta), (f_3, \Delta)\Big)$ by Remark 4.7. Since $-2\Delta \neq \Delta$ we must have $g \cdot f_1 = f_1$ and either $g \cdot f_2 = f_2$, $g \cdot f_3 = f_3$ or $g \cdot f_2 = f_3$, $g \cdot f_3 = f_2$. This means that $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}/\mathbb{F})$ has at most two elements and hence $[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] \leq 2$. Part two follows from Remark 4.3 since the only possible degree for a splitting field of Q_m is $1, 2, 3$ or 6. QED

Given a field $\mathbb{F}$ and an integer $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we denote by $\mathcal{E}_k(\mathbb{F})$ the set of equivalence classes of extensions of $\mathbb{F}$ of degree at most k which are isomorphic to a splitting field of a degree three polynomial with distinct roots. It is well known that there is a bijection

$$\mathcal{E}_2(\mathbb{F}) \cong \mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2} \ , \tag{4.32}$$

given by

$$\mathbb{F}(\sqrt{a}) \mapsto [a] \ .$$

(Under this bijection the field $\mathbb{F}$ itself corresponds to the identity element.)

Theorem 4.21 *Let $\mathcal{A}_{3C}^c = \nu^{-1}\big(\Gamma_{Cardano} \setminus \{(0,0)\}\big)$. Define $\tilde{\nu} : \mathcal{A}_{3C}^c \to \big(\Gamma_{Cardano} \setminus \{(0,0)\}\big) \times \mathcal{E}_2(\mathbb{F})$ by*

$$\tilde{\nu}(m) = (\nu(m), [\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}]), \quad \forall m \in \mathcal{A}_{3C}^c \ .$$

(i) Let m, m' be in $\mathcal{A}_{3C}^c$. Then

$$\tilde{\nu}(m) = \tilde{\nu}(m') \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists g \in GL(V) \text{ s.t. } m' = g \cdot m \ .$$

(ii) The map $\tilde{\nu}$ is surjective.

Proof. (i): Since $\tilde{\nu}(m) = \tilde{\nu}(m')$ we have $\nu(m) = \nu(m')$ and $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} \cong \mathbb{F}_{Q_{m'}}$. Hence m and m' have the same idemvalues and without loss of generality we can suppose $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} = \mathbb{F}_{Q_{m'}}$. Let $((f_1, -2\Delta), (f_2, \Delta), (f_3, \Delta))$ and $((f'_1, -2\Delta'), (f'_2, \Delta'), (f'_3, \Delta'))$ be two fundamental triples in $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ s.t.

$$m(f_1, f_1) = \tfrac{-2\Delta-1}{3} f_1 \ , \quad m(f_2, f_2) = \tfrac{\Delta-1}{3} f_2 \ , \quad m(f_3, f_3) = \tfrac{\Delta-1}{3} f_3 \ ;$$

$$m'(f'_1, f'_1) = \tfrac{-2\Delta-1}{3} f'_1 \ , \quad m'(f'_2, f'_2) = \tfrac{\Delta-1}{3} f'_2 \ , \quad m'(f'_3, f'_3) = \tfrac{\Delta-1}{3} f'_3 \ .$$

Define $h \in \mathrm{GL}\big(V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}\big)$ by $h(f_i) = f'_i$ then h is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}-$algebras. There are two possibilities: either $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} = \mathbb{F}$ or $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ is a quadratic extension of $\mathbb{F}$ (see Lemma 4.20 (i)). In the first case h is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}-$algebras and we are finished. In the second case to conclude, we have to show that h commutes with the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}/\mathbb{F}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. The Galois group has to fix f_1 and f'_1 and has to permute f_2, f_3 and f'_2, f'_3. This says exactly that h commutes with the action of the Galois group.

(ii): We now show the surjectivity of $\tilde{\nu}$. Let $(p_3, p_2) \in \Gamma_{\text{Caradano}} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ and let $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F}$ be an extension of degree at most two. By hypothesis there exists $\Delta \in \mathbb{F}^\star$ s.t.

$$x^3 + p_2 x - p_3 = (x + 2\Delta)(x - \Delta)^2 \ .$$

Since $\mathbb{K}$ is of degree at most two there exists $a \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. $\mathbb{K}$ is a splitting field of $x^2 - a$. Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be a basis of V, pick a square root $\sqrt{a}$ in $\mathbb{K}$ and define the following elements of $V_{\mathbb{K}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \sqrt{a} e_1 + \frac{1}{3} a e_2 \ , \\ f_2 &= -\sqrt{a} e_1 + \frac{1}{3} a e_2 \ , \\ f_3 &= -\frac{2}{3} e_2 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

Define the multiplication $m : V_{\mathbb{K}} \times V_{\mathbb{K}} \to V_{\mathbb{K}}$ by

$$m(f_1, f_1) = \frac{\Delta - 1}{3} f_1 \ , \quad m(f_2, f_2) = \frac{\Delta - 1}{3} f_2 \ \ , m(f_1, f_2) = \frac{2 + \Delta}{6} \big(f_1 + f_2\big) \ .$$

One then checks that

$$m(e_1, e_1) = -\frac{1}{6} e_2 \ , \quad m(e_2, e_2) = \frac{2\Delta + 1}{2a} e_2 \ , \quad m(e_1, e_2) = \frac{\Delta - 1}{2a} e_1 \ . \tag{4.34}$$

From this it follows that $\nu(m) = (-2\Delta^3, -3\Delta^2) = (p_3, p_2)$. It remains to show that the splitting field of the fundamental cubic Q_m is $\mathbb{K}$. Up to a constant, $Q_m = F_1 F_2 F_3 \otimes e_1 \wedge e_2$ where

$$F_1(x e_1 + y e_2) = \frac{1}{3} a x - \sqrt{a} y \ , \quad F_2(x e_1 + y e_2) = \frac{1}{3} a x + \sqrt{a} y \ , \quad F_3(x e_1 + y e_2) = -\frac{2}{3} a x \tag{4.35}$$

since $F_i(f_i) = 0, i = 1, 2, 3$. A short calculation then gives

$$Q_m(x e_1 + y e_2) = -\frac{2}{27} a^3 x (x^2 - \frac{1}{a} y^2) \otimes e_1 \wedge e_2 \ ,$$

which shows that $x^2 - a$ and Q_m have isomorphic splitting fields. Hence $\tilde{\nu}(m) = ((-2\Delta^3, -3\Delta^2), \mathbb{K}) = ((p_3, p_2), \mathbb{K})$.

QED

4.3.4 Moduli over $\{(0,0)\}$: exceptional algebras

Theorems 4.19 and 4.21 give a complete set of invariants for isomorphism classes of algebras m such that $\nu(m) \neq (0,0)$. We now consider the final case which corresponds to the case of algebras m satisfying $\nu(m) = (0,0)$ which we call exceptional. Recall that, by definition, $\mathcal{E}_6(\mathbb{F})$ is the set of equivalence classes of extensions of $\mathbb{F}$ of degree at most six and which are isomorphic to splitting fields of cubic polynomials over $\mathbb{F}$. Recall also that the splitting field of a cubic polynomial is of degree three or six *iff* it is irreducible.

Exceptional algebras have a very simple characterisation in terms of the representation theory of $GL(V)$. In fact, recall that as a $GL(V)$ representation there is an isomorphism (see Proposition 3.5)

$$\mathcal{A}^c \cong V^* \oplus B$$

(here $B = \mathcal{S}^3(V^*) \otimes L^{-1}$) and we now show that $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ is exceptional *iff* its component along V^* vanishes.

Lemma 4.22 *Let m be in $\mathcal{A}_3^c$ and recall that $T_m : V \to \mathbb{F}$ is defined by $T_m(v) = \mathrm{Tr}(L_v)$ for all $v \in V$. Then $T_m(v) = 0, \forall v \in V$ iff m is an exceptional algebra.*

Proof. Let $((f_1,\Delta_1),(f_2,\Delta_2),(f_3,\Delta_3)) \in (V_{\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}_{Q_m})^3)$ be a reduced fundamental triple:

$$m(f_i,f_i) = \frac{\Delta_i - 1}{3} f_i \ , \quad m(f_i,f_j) = \frac{\Delta_j + 2}{6} f_i + \frac{\Delta_i + 2}{6} f_j \ , i \neq j \ .$$

Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} = \mathbb{F}$ since $\mathrm{tr}(L_v \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}}) = \mathrm{tr}(L_v)$. Then,

$$L_{xf_1+yf_2} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Delta_1-1}{3}x + \frac{\Delta_2+2}{6}y & \frac{\Delta_2+2}{6}x \\ \frac{\Delta_1+2}{6}y & \frac{\Delta_2-1}{3}y + \frac{\Delta_1+2}{6}x \end{pmatrix}$$

and $T_m(xf_1 + yf_2) = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_1 x + \Delta_2 y)$. Since by defintion m is exceptional *iff* $\nu(m) = 0$ *iff* $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = \Delta_3 = 0$, this proves the result. QED

Corollary 4.23

1. *Let $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ be exceptional and let $g \in GL(V)$. Then $g \cdot m_1 = m_2$ iff $g \cdot Q_{m_1} = Q_{m_2}$.*
2. *If $Q \in B$ is non-zero, there exists a unique $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ such that m is exceptional and $Q_m = Q$.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the lemma above and Proposition 3.5. QED

Theorem 4.24 *Let $\mathcal{A}_{30}^c = \nu^{-1}\big((0,0)\big)$. Define $\nu_0 : \mathcal{A}_{30}^c \to \mathcal{E}_6(\mathbb{F})$ by*

$$\nu_0(m) = [\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}] \ , \quad \forall m \in \nu^{-1}\big((0,0)\big) \ .$$

(i) Let $m, m' \in \mathcal{A}_{30}^c$. Then

$$\nu_0(m) = \nu_0(m') \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists g \in GL(V) \text{ s.t. } m' = g \cdot m \ .$$

(ii) The map ν_0 is surjective.

Proof. (i): By Proposition 2.1(iv) in [14], if $Q_1, Q_2 \in B$ are non-degenerate then they are in the same $GL(V)-$orbit *iff* their splitting fields are isomorphic. Combining this with the previous corollary it follows that two generic exceptional algebras m_1, m_2 are in the same $GL(V)-$orbit *iff* the splitting fields of Q_{m_1} and Q_{m_2} are isomorphic.
(ii): By definition $\mathcal{E}_6(\mathbb{F})$ is the set of equivalence classes of extensions of $\mathbb{F}$ of degree at most six which are isomorphic to splitting fields of cubic polynomials over $\mathbb{F}$. Hence by Corollary 4.23 (2) ν_0 is surjective. QED

Example 4.25 *Let $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F}$ be an extension of $\mathbb{F}$ such that $[\mathbb{K}] \in \mathcal{E}_6(\mathbb{F})$. We now give explicitly the multiplication table of an exceptional algebra m such that $\nu_0(m) = [\mathbb{K}]$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\mathbb{K}$ is the splitting field of $x^3 + d_2x - d_3 \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ and that the roots of this polynomial are distinct. If*

$$x^3 + d_2x - d_3 = (x - \rho_1)(x - \rho_2)(x - \rho_3) \quad in \quad \mathbb{K}, \tag{4.36}$$

we define $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in V_{\mathbb{K}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \rho_1 e_1 + (\rho_2\rho_3 - \frac{1}{3}d_2)e_2 \ , \\ f_2 &= \rho_2 e_1 + (\rho_3\rho_1 - \frac{1}{3}d_2)e_2 \ , \\ f_3 &= \rho_3 e_1 + (\rho_1\rho_2 - \frac{1}{3}d_2)e_2 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

Let m be the unique multiplication on $V_{\mathbb{K}}$ s.t.

$$m(f_i, f_i) = -\frac{1}{3}f_i \ , \quad m(f_i, f_j) = \frac{1}{3}f_i + \frac{1}{3}f_j \ , for \ i \neq j \ . \tag{4.38}$$

One can check, after lengthy but straightforward calculation, that the product of two elements in V is also in V and in the basis e_1, e_2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(e_1, e_1) &= -\frac{1}{3D^2}\Big(9d_3d_2e_1 - (27d_3^2 + 2d_2^3)e_2\Big) \ , \\ m(e_2, e_2) &= \frac{9}{D^2}\Big(d_3e_1 + \frac{2}{9}d_2^2e_2\Big) \ , \\ m(e_1, e_2) &= \frac{1}{D^2}\Big(- 2d_2^2e_1 + 3d_3d_2e_2\Big) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

where

$$D = (\rho_1 - \rho_2)(\rho_2 - \rho_3)(\rho_3 - \rho_1) \ . \tag{4.40}$$

Notice that $D^2 = -27d_3^2 - 4d_2^3$ *is the discriminant of the cubic* $x^3 + d_2x - d_3$. *It is clear that* $\nu(m) = (0,0)$ *from* (4.38) *and to see that* $\nu_0(m) = \mathbb{K}$ *we have to show that* $\mathbb{K}$ *is a splitting field of* Q_m. *Computation gives*

$$Q_m = -\frac{9}{D^2} F_1F_2F_3 \otimes e_1 \wedge e_2 \tag{4.41}$$

where

$$F_i(xe_1 + ye_2) = (\rho_j\rho_k - d_2/3)x - \rho_i y \ , \quad \{i,j,k\} = \{1,2,3\} \ . \tag{4.42}$$

Since ρ_i *is a root of* $x^3 + d_2x - d_3$ *whose splitting field is* $\mathbb{K}$, *the splitting field of* Q_m *is also* $\mathbb{K}$. *After some (tedious) calculation, we get*

$$Q_m(xe_1 + ye_2) = \Big((d_3^2 + \frac{2}{27}d_2^3)x^3 + d_3d_2x^2y + \frac{2}{3}d_2^2xy^2 - d_3y^3\Big) \otimes e_1 \wedge e_2 \ .$$

Note that it follows from (4.39) *that*

$$m(m(xe_1 + ye_2, xe_1 + ye_2), xe_1 + ye_2) = \frac{1}{3D^4}(d_2^2x^2 - 9d_3xy - 3d_2y^2)(xe_1 + ye_2) \ ,$$

in other words the cube of an element in an exceptional algebra is proportional to itself.

Note also that equations (4.34) *define a algebra* m *such that* $\tilde{\nu}(m) = ((-2\Delta^3, -3\Delta^2), \mathbb{F}(\sqrt{a})$. *If we set* $\Delta = 0$, m *becomes the algebra* (4.39) *with* $d_3 = 0, d_2 = -a$ *and* $D^2 = 4a^3$. *This means that in some sense exceptional algebras corresponding to extensions of degree at most two are limits of non-exceptional algebras over the Cardano curve.*

Remark 4.26 *If* $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F}$ *is an extension of degree six we can describe the action of the Galois group on the six dimensional representation* $\mathbb{K}$ *as follows. Without loss of generality we can suppose that* $\mathbb{K}$ *is the splitting field of* $x^3 + d_2x - N$. *Then we can find* $\rho, \Delta \in \mathbb{K}$ *such that* $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}(\rho, \Delta)$ *where* ρ, Δ *are defined by*

$$\begin{array}{lll} x^3 + d_2x - N & = (x-\rho)(x^2 + \rho x + \frac{N}{\rho}) & (\text{in } \mathbb{F}(\rho)) \\ & = (x-\rho)(x - \frac{-\rho+\Delta}{2})(x - \frac{-\rho-\Delta}{2}) & (\text{in } \mathbb{K}) \\ & = (x-\rho)(x-\bar{\rho})(x-\bar{\bar{\rho}}) \ . & \end{array}$$

From $\rho\bar{\rho} + \bar{\rho}\bar{\bar{\rho}} + \bar{\bar{\rho}}\rho = d_2$ *it follows that* $\Delta^2 = -3\rho^2 - 4d_2$. *Since* $\Delta = \bar{\rho} - \rho$ *it follows immediately that* $\bar{\Delta} = 1/2(3\rho - \Delta)$. *The action of the Galois group* S_3 *on* $\mathbb{K}$ *is then given by*

$$(23) \longrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \rho & \to & \rho \\ \Delta & \to & -\Delta \end{array} \right. \ , \quad {}^- = (123) \longrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \rho & \to & \frac{1}{2}\big(-\rho - \Delta\big) \\ \Delta & \to & \frac{1}{2}\big(3\rho - \Delta\big) \end{array} \right. \ ,$$

and the decomposition of $\mathbb{K}$ *into irreducible* $\mathbb{F}-$*representations by*

$$\mathbb{K} = \big\langle N \big\rangle \oplus \big\langle D \big\rangle \oplus \big\langle \rho, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\bar{\rho}} \big\rangle \oplus \big\langle \rho\bar{\rho} - \frac{1}{3}d_2, \bar{\rho}\bar{\bar{\rho}} - \frac{1}{3}d_2, \bar{\bar{\rho}}\rho - \frac{1}{3}d_2 \big\rangle \ ,$$

where $D = (\rho - \bar{\rho})(\bar{\rho} - \bar{\bar{\rho}})(\bar{\bar{\rho}} - \rho)$. *These representations as representations of* S_3 *are respectively isomorphic to:* $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}_\epsilon, \mathbf{2}$ *and* $\mathbf{2}$.

5 Applications

5.1 Division algebras

In this section we give a criterion for division algebras in terms of the invariants defined above. Recall that

Definition 5.1 *The algebra $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ is a division algebra iff for all $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$, L_v is invertible.*

Lemma 5.2 *(If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ see [22].) An algebra $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ is a division algebra iff D_m is an anisotropic quadratic form.*

Proof. Let $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ then v is D_m-isotropic *iff* $D_m(v) = 0$ *iff* $\det(L_v(m)) = 0$. This is true *iff* there exists a non-zero $u \in V$ such $L_v(m)(u) = 0$, *i.e.*, such that $m(u, v) = 0$. Hence D_m is anisotropic *iff* m defines a division algebra. QED

We now show that the moduli p_3, p_2 enable us to detect when an algebra is a division algebra.

Theorem 5.3 *Let $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$.*

(i) If $\nu(m) = (0, 0)$ then m is a division algebra iff -3 is not a square in the splitting field $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ of Q_m.

(ii) If $\nu(m) \in \Gamma_{Cardano} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, let $\tilde{\nu}(m) = (p_3, p_2, [\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}])$. Then m is a division algebra iff $-3(p_2 - p_3 + 1)$ is not a square in $\mathbb{F}$ when $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} \cong \mathbb{F}$ or iff $-3a(p_2 - p_3 + 1)$ is not a square in $\mathbb{F}$ when $[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 2$ and $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} \cong \mathbb{F}(\sqrt{a})$.

(iii) If $\nu(m) \notin \Gamma_{Cardano}$, let $\nu(m) = (p_3, p_2)$. Then m is a division algebra iff $-3(p_2 - p_3 + 1)(-27p_3^2 - 4p_2^3)$ is not a square in $\mathbb{F}$.

Proof. (i): Since $\nu(m) = (0, 0)$ the equivalence class of m is completely determined by $\nu_0(m) = \mathbb{K}$. Let $x^3 + d_2x - d_3 \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ be a polynomial with three distinct roots ρ_1, ρ_2, ρ_3 whose splitting field is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$. By (4.39) there exists a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of V such that the matrix of the left multiplication by $xe_1 + ye_2$ is given by

$$L_{xe_1+ye_2} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{3x}{D^2}d_3d_2 - \frac{2y}{D^2}d_2^2 & -\frac{2x}{D^2}d_2^2 + \frac{9y}{D^2}d_3 \\ \frac{x}{D^2}(9d_3^2 + \frac{2}{3}d_2^3) + \frac{3y}{D^2}d_3d_2 & \frac{3x}{D^2}d_3d_2 + \frac{2y}{D^2}d_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $D^2 = (\rho_1-\rho_2)^2(\rho_2-\rho_3)^2(\rho_3-\rho_1)^2 = -27d_3^2-4d_2^3$. By Lemma 5.2, m defines a division algebra *iff* $D_m(x, y) = \det(L_{xe_1+ye_2})$ is an anisotropic quadratic form. Computing the determinant we get

$$D_m(x, y) = \frac{27d_3^2 + 4d_2^3}{3D^4}\Big(d_2^2x^2 - 9d_3xy - 3d_2y^2\Big)$$

and the discriminant reduces to $-3\frac{1}{(3D)^2}$. Since $D \in \mathbb{F}_{Q_m} \setminus \mathbb{F}$ this proves (i).

(ii-a): When $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} \cong \mathbb{F}$ there exists a reduced fundamental triple $((f_1, \Delta_1 = \Delta), (f_2, \Delta_2 = \Delta), (f_3, \Delta_3 = -2\Delta)) \in (V \times \mathbb{F})^3$. The fundamental quadratic $D_m(xf_1 + yf_2)$ is given by

$$D_m(xf_1 + yf_2) = \frac{1}{9}x^2(\Delta_1 - 1)(1 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_1) + \frac{1}{9}xy(\Delta_1 - 1)(\Delta_2 - 1) + \frac{1}{9}y^2(\Delta_2 - 1)(1 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2) \ ,$$

and its discriminant by $\frac{1}{27}(p_3 - p_2 - 1)$. This proves (ii-a).

(ii-b). By (4.34) there exists a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of V such that the matrix of left multiplication by $xe_1 + ye_2$ is given by

$$L_{xe_1+ye_2} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Delta-1}{2a}y & \frac{\Delta-1}{2a}x \\ -\frac{1}{6}x & \frac{2\Delta+1}{2a}y \end{pmatrix} .$$

By Lemma 5.2 m defines a division algebra *iff* $\det(L_{xe_1+ye_2})$ is a anisotropic quadratic form. A short calculation shows that

$$\det(L_{xe_1+ye_2}) = \frac{p_3 - p_2 - 1}{12a^3} ,$$

and this proves (ii-b).

(iii): If $\nu(m) = (p_3, p_2)$ then by (4.31) there is a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of V such that the matrix of the left multiplication by $xe_1 + ye_2$ is given by

$$L_{xe_1+ye_2} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x}{3d^2}\Big(-4p_2^3 - 27p_3^2 - 9p_2p_3\Big) - \frac{2y}{d^2}p_2^2 & -\frac{2x}{d^2}p_2^2 + \frac{9y}{d^2}p_3 \\ \frac{x}{3d^2}\Big(2p_2^3 + 27p_3^2\Big) + \frac{3y}{d^2}\Big(-\frac{2}{9}p_2^3 - \frac{3}{2}p_3^2 + p_2p_3\Big) & \frac{2x}{d^2}\Big(-\frac{2}{9}p_2^3 - \frac{3}{2}p_3^2 + p_2p_3\Big) + \frac{2y}{d^2}p_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $d^2 = (\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)^2(\Delta_2 - \Delta_3)^2(\Delta_3 - \Delta_2)^2 = -(27p_3^2 + 4p_2^3)$. By Lemma 5.2, m defines a division algebra iff $D_m(x, y) = \det(L_{xe_1+ye_2})$ is an anisotropic quadratic form. Computing the determinant we get

$$D_m(x, y) = \frac{4p_2^3 + 27p_3^2}{18d^4}\Big\{ \Big(27p_3^2 - 9p_2p_3 + 6p_2^2 + 4p_2^3\Big)x^2 - 6\Big(9p_3 + 2p_2^2\Big)xy + 9\Big(3p_3 - 2p_2\Big)y^2\Big\} ,$$

and then the discriminant of $D_m(x, y)$ reduces to $-\frac{1}{9} \times 3(p_2 - p_3 + 1)(27p_3^2 + 4p_2^3)$. This proves (iii). QED

Part one of this Theorem implies that there is (up to isomorphism) only one two-dimensional real commutative division algebra whose automorphism group is S_3. In fact one can show that any real division algebra whose automorphism group is S_3 must be commutative [11].

5.2 Associativity

In this section we show that generic commutative associative algebras correspond to a single point in the Cardano plane.

Theorem 5.4 *Let $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$. Then m is associative iff $\nu(m) = (16, -12)$. Note that $\nu(m) \in \Gamma_{Cardano}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ be a splitting field of Q_m and let $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in V_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the fundamental triple:

$$m(f_1, f_1) = \frac{\Delta_1 - 1}{3}f_1 \ , m(f_2, f_2) = \frac{\Delta_2 - 1}{3}f_2 \ , m(f_1, f_2) = \frac{2 + \Delta_2}{6}f_1 + \frac{2 + \Delta_1}{6}f_2 .$$

Among the eight associators $A(f_i, f_j, f_k), i, j, k = 1, 2$ one checks that only two do not trivially vanish:

$$m(m(f_2, f_1), f_1) - m(f_2, m(f_1, f_1)) \ , \quad m(m(f_1, f_2), f_2) - m(f_1, m(f_2, f_2)) \ . \tag{5.43}$$

Calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} m(m(f_2, f_1), f_1) - m(f_2, m(f_1, f_1)) &= \frac{2 + \Delta_1}{36}\Big((2 + \Delta_2)f_1 + (4 - \Delta_1)f_2\Big) \ , \\ m(m(f_1, f_2), f_2) - m(f_1, m(f_2, f_2)) &= \frac{2 + \Delta_2}{36}\Big((4 - \Delta_2)f_1 + (2 + \Delta_1)f_2\Big) \ . \end{aligned}$$

It follows from this that m is associative iff $(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = (-2, -2), (-2, 4)$ or $(4, -2)$ and hence iff $\nu(m) = (16, -12)$. QED

We have already seen in Theorem 4.21 that equivalence classes of algebras such that $\nu(m) = (16, -12)$ are completely determined by the class of the field extension $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}/\mathbb{F}$. Moreover, since $(16, -12) \in \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ can be any extension of $\mathbb{F}$ of degree at most two.

Corollary 5.5 *Let $m \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ be associative and let $\tilde{\nu}(m) = ((16, -12), [\mathbb{K}])$ where $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F}$ is an extension of degree one or two.*

(i) If $\mathbb{K} \cong \mathbb{F}$, then the $\mathbb{F}-$algebra (V, m) is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$.

(ii) If $\mathbb{K}$ is a quadratic extension, then the $\mathbb{F}-$algebra (V, m) is isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}$.

Proof. (i): If $\mathbb{K} \cong \mathbb{F}$, the splitting field of Q_m is $\mathbb{F}$. Hence, as we saw in the proof of Theorem 5.4 one can suppose $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = -2, \Delta_3 = 4$ and hence there exist $f_1, f_2 \in V$ such that

$$m(f_1, f_1) = -f_1 \ , \ m(f_2, f_2) = -f_2 \ , m(f_1, f_2) = 0 \ ,$$

and the algebra (V, m) is the product of the ideals $\text{Vect}(f_1) \times \text{Vect}(f_2)$. The identity element is $f_3 = -f_1 - f_2$.

(ii): The splitting field $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ of Q_m is an extension of degree two so $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}/\mathbb{F}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and there exist $\alpha \in V^*$, $\beta \in V^*_{\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}}$ and $b \in L^{-1}$ such that $Q_m = \alpha\beta\bar{\beta} \otimes b$. One can choose $f \in \text{Ker}(\beta)$ such that $((f, -2), (\bar{f}, -2), (-f - \bar{f}, 4))$ is a reduced fundamental triple (see Theorem 4.5), thus

$$m(f, f) = -f \ , \ m(\bar{f}, \bar{f}) = -\bar{f} \ , m(f, \bar{f}) = 0 \ , \tag{5.44}$$

Since $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ is a quadratic extension of $\mathbb{F}$ there exists $a \in \mathbb{F}^* \setminus (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$ (*i.e.*, a is not a square in $\mathbb{F}^*$) such that $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} = \mathbb{F}(\sqrt{a})$. In the basis $e_1 = -(f + \bar{f}), e_2 = \sqrt{a}(f - \bar{f})$ of V the multiplication defined in (5.44) reduces to

$$m(e_1, e_1) = e_1 \ , \quad m(e_2, e_2) = ae_1 \ , \quad m(e_1, e_2) = e_2 \ .$$

Here the map $e_1 \to 1, e_2 \to \sqrt{a}$ defines an $\mathbb{F}-$algebra isomorphism of (V, m) with $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$. QED

Remark 5.6 *In case (ii) of the corollary above, the algebra (V, m) is isomorphic to a field and in particular is a division algebra. This means that the criterion of Theorem 5.3 (ii) must be satisfied which is true since*

$$-3a(p_2 - p_3 + 1) = 81a$$

is not a square in $\mathbb{F}$.

6 Moduli space of generic algebras with respect to the action of $SL(V)$

In this section we consider the set of generic algebras

$$\mathcal{A}_3^c = \left\{ m \in \mathcal{A}^c \ , \quad \text{s.t.} \ \ \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) \neq 0 \right\} ,$$

modulo the action of $SL(V)$. Since $SL(V)$ is a subgroup of $GL(V)$ there is a natural map from the $SL(V)-$moduli space $\mathcal{A}_3^c/SL(V)$ to the $GL(V)-$moduli space $\mathcal{M}_3^c$. It turns out that the description of the fibre of this map is quite different depending on whether or not we are working over the Cardano curve. We will show that the invariant $\frac{\mathrm{Inv}_m}{\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)}$ distinguishes $SL(V)-$moduli corresponding to the same $GL(V)-$moduli if the latter do not belong to the Cardano curve. If they do belong to the Cardano curve, given by the vanishing of Inv (see Theorem 3.17), we will show that the invariant $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)$ distinguishes the corresponding $SL(V)-$moduli unless $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)$ is irreducible (see (3.2) of Proposition 6.1).

Proposition 6.1 *Let $m, m' \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ and let $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Cardano}} = \left\{ (p_3, p_2) \in \mathbb{F}^2 : 27p_3^2 + 4p_2^3 = 0 \right\}$.*

1. *If $\nu(m), \nu(m') \notin \Gamma_{\mathrm{Cardano}}$ and $\nu(m) = \nu(m')$, then there exists $g \in SL(V)$ such that $m' = g \cdot m$ iff $\frac{\mathrm{Inv}_m}{\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)} = \frac{\mathrm{Inv}_{m'}}{\mathrm{Disc}(Q_{m'})}$.*

2. *If $\tilde{\nu}(m), \tilde{\nu}(m') \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Cardano}} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\tilde{\nu}(m) = \tilde{\nu}(m')$, then there exists $g \in SL(V)$ such that $m' = g \cdot m$ iff $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) = \mathrm{Disc}(Q_{m'})$.*

3. *If $\nu(m) = \nu(m') = (0,0)$ and $\nu_0(m) = \nu_0(m')$,*

   3.1 if Q_m is reducible (equivalently if $[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 1$ or 2) then there exists $g \in SL(V)$ such that $m' = g \cdot m$ iff $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) = \mathrm{Disc}(Q_{m'})$,

   3.2 if Q_m is irreducible (equivalentlyf if $[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 3$ or 6) then $Disc(Q_m)$ determines the $SL(V)-$classes up to a $\mathbb{Z}_2-$factor.

Proof. The implications "$\Rightarrow$" are immediate for (1),(2),(3), so we now prove the three converse implications.

(1): Suppose $m, m' \in \mathcal{A}_3^c$ are such that $\nu(m), \nu(m') \notin \Gamma_{\mathrm{Cardano}}$ and

$$\frac{\mathrm{Inv}_m}{\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)} = \frac{\mathrm{Inv}_{m'}}{\mathrm{Disc}(Q_{m'})} \ , \quad \nu(m) = \nu(m') \ .$$

By Theorem 4.19 there exists g in $GL(V)$ such that $m' = g \cdot m$. Hence

$$\frac{\mathrm{Inv}_{m'}}{\mathrm{Disc}(Q_{m'})} = (\det g)^{-1} \frac{\mathrm{Inv}_m}{\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)} = \frac{\mathrm{Inv}_m}{\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)} \ ,$$

which means that g is in $SL(V)$.

(2): Suppose $m, m' \in \mathcal{A}^c$ are such that $\tilde{\nu}(m), \tilde{\nu}(m') \in \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$\text{Disc}(Q_m) = \text{Disc}(Q_{m'}) \ , \quad \tilde{\nu}(m) = \tilde{\nu}(m') \ .$$

By Theorems 4.21 and 4.24 there exists g in $GL(V)$ such that $m' = g \cdot m$. Hence

$$\text{Disc}(Q_{m'}) = (\det g)^{-2}\text{Disc}(Q_m) = \text{Disc}(Q_m) \ ,$$

whence $\det(g) = \pm 1$. Without loss of generality we can assume $\det(g) = 1$ since by Corollary 4.12 there exists an automorphism of m' of determinant minus one.

The proof of (3.1) is the same as the proof of (2) (see corollary 4.12).

(3.2): By Theorem 4.24, m and m' are $GL(V)-$equivalent *iff* the twisted binary cubics Q_m and $Q_{m'}$ are $GL(V)-$equivalent. It follows that m and m' are $SL(V)-$equivalent *iff* Q_m and $Q_{m'}$ are $SL(V)-$equivalent. As an $SL(V)-$representation the space of twisted binary cubics and the space of binary cubics are isomorphic so we are led to the problem of classifying irreducible (untwisted) binary cubics under the action of $SL(V)$.

Let us first consider the particular case where in some basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of V we can write

$$q_m(x, y) = ax^3 + by^3 \ , \quad q_{m'}(x, y) = a'x^3 + b'y^3 \ ,$$

with $Q_m = q_m e_1 \wedge e_2, Q_{m'} = q_{m'} e_1 \wedge e_2$. Then one can show that

$$\text{Disc}(Q_m) = -3\big(3ab \otimes (\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2\big) \ , \quad \text{Disc}(Q_{m'}) = -3\big(3a'b' \otimes (\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2\big) \ ,$$

where $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$ is the basis dual to $\{e_1, e_2\}$. It is shown in [23] that q_m and $q_{m'}$ are in the same $SL(V)-$orbit *iff*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \left[\frac{a}{b}\right]_{\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*3}} & = & \left[\frac{a'}{b'}\right]_{\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*3}} \\ ab & = & a'b' \end{array} \right. \text{ or } \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \left[\frac{a}{b}\right]_{\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*3}} & = & \left[\frac{b'}{a'}\right]_{\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*3}} \\ ab & = & -a'b' \end{array} \right. .$$

Now the fact that Q_m and $Q_{m'}$ are in the same $GL(V)-$orbit implies that there exists λ in $\mathbb{F}^*$ such that $\lambda(ab) = a'b'$, and the fact that $\text{Disc}(Q_m)$=$\text{Disc}(Q_{m'})$ implies that $ab = \pm a'b'$, *i.e.*, $\lambda^2 = 1$. If $\lambda = 1$ we must have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \left[\frac{a}{b}\right]_{\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*3}} & = & \left[\frac{a'}{b'}\right]_{\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*3}} \\ ab & = & a'b' \end{array} \right. \text{ or } \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \left[\frac{a}{b}\right]_{\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*3}} & = & \left[\frac{b'}{a'}\right]_{\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*3}} \\ ab & = & -a'b' \end{array} \right. ,$$

and if $\lambda = -1$ we must have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \left[\frac{a}{b}\right]_{\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*3}} & = & \left[\frac{a'}{b'}\right]_{\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*3}} \\ ab & = & -a'b' \end{array} \right. \text{ or } \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \left[\frac{a}{b}\right]_{\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*3}} & = & \left[\frac{b'}{a'}\right]_{\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*3}} \\ ab & = & a'b' \end{array} \right. .$$

Hence either $Q_{m'}$ and Q_m are in the same orbit or $Q_{m'}$ is in the $SL(V)-$orbit corresponding to the parameters $([a/b], -ab)$. This proves (3.2) in the special case where Q_m and $Q_{m'}$ can be written as above. In fact, as shown in [23], this is the general situation, and this completes the proof of part (3.2). QED

Remark 6.2 *Since*

$$\mathrm{Disc}(D_m) = \frac{1}{27}(p_3 - p_2 - 1)\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) \ ,$$

the invariant Disc(D) also distinguishes $SL(V)-$modules with the same $GL(V)-$moduli on the Cardano curve if $p_3 - p_2 - 1 \neq 0$ if $[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}/\mathbb{F}] \leq 2$. Note that the only point on the Cardano curve such that $p_3 - p_2 - 1 = 0$ is the "associative" point $(16, -12)$ (see Theorem 5.4).

Before stating the next theorem we need the

Lemma 6.3 *The natural action of the group $\mathbb{F}^{*2}$ on $L^2 \setminus \{0\}$ defines a principal $\mathbb{F}^{*2}-$fibration $s : L^2 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}$.*

Proof. It is clear that

$$\omega \otimes \omega \mapsto (\lambda\omega) \otimes (\lambda\omega) \ , \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*, \omega \in L \ ,$$

is a well defined principal $\mathbb{F}^{*2}-$action, *i.e.*, the only element of $\mathbb{F}^{*2}$ with a fixed point is the identity. The point of the Lemma is to identify the quotient space with $\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}$. For this pick $\{\omega_0\}$ of L and define

$$\begin{array}{rccc} s: & L^2 \setminus \{0\} & \to & \mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2} \\ & (\lambda\omega_0) \otimes (\lambda\omega_0) & \mapsto & [\lambda^2] \ . \end{array}$$

One easily checks that s is independent of the choice of basis $\{\omega_0\}$ and that $s(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1) = s(\omega_2 \otimes \omega_2)$ *iff* there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$ such that $\omega_2 \otimes \omega_2 = \lambda^2 \omega_1 \otimes \omega_1$. This proves the lemma.

QED

This lemma enables us to associate a half-line (more precisely a $\mathbb{F}^{*2}-$orbit) in $L^2 \setminus \{0\}$ to any quadratic extension of $\mathbb{F}$ since $\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}$ is naturally identified with the set of at most quadratic extensions of $\mathbb{F}$.

Definition 6.4 *Let $[a]$ be an element of $\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}$. We define the half-line $L_{[a]} \subset L^2 \setminus \{0\}$ by*

$$L_{[a]} = s^{-1}([a]) \ .$$

In part (3) of the following theorem we use the notation:

- $\mathbf{E}_i$ is the set of equivalence classes of Galois extensions of $\mathbb{F}$ of degree equal to i.
- $^i\mathcal{A}^c_{30} = \Big\{ m \in \mathcal{A}^c_{30} \ \text{ s.t. } \ \nu_0(m) \in \mathbf{E}_i \Big\}$.
- $\mathbf{\Delta}_{6,2} = \Big\{ (\tilde{\mathbb{F}}_6, \omega) \in \mathbf{E}_6 \times (L^2 \setminus \{0\}) \ \text{ s.t. } \ s(\omega) \subset \tilde{\mathbb{F}}_6 \Big\}$,

where i takes the values $1, 2, 3$ or 6.

Theorem 6.5

1. *The map* $\hat{\nu}_s : \mathcal{A}^c_{3g}/SL(V) \to \left(\mathbb{F}^2 \setminus \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}\right) \times (L \setminus \{0\})$ *given by*

$$\hat{\nu}_s(m) = \left(p_3, p_2, \frac{\text{Inv}}{\text{Disc}(Q)}\right)$$

is a bijection.

2. *The map* $\hat{\hat{\nu}}_s : \mathcal{A}^c_{3C}/SL(V) \to \left(\Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{0\}\right) \times (L^2 \setminus \{0\})$ *given by*

$$\hat{\hat{\nu}}_s(m) = (p_3, p_2, \text{Disc}(Q))$$

is a bijection.

3. *If* $\nu(m) = (0,0)$ *there are three cases:*

3.1 The map ${}_1\hat{\nu}_0 : \left({}^1\mathcal{A}^c_{30} \cup {}^3\mathcal{A}^c_{30}\right)\Big/SL(V) \to \left(\mathbf{E}_1 \cup \mathbf{E}_3\right) \times L_{[1]}$ *given by*

$${}_1\hat{\nu}_0(m) = (\nu_0(m), Disc(Q_m)) \ ,$$

is a bijection.

3.2 The map ${}_2\hat{\nu}_0 : {}^2\mathcal{A}^c_{30}/SL(V) \to (L^2 \setminus \{0\}) \setminus L_{[1]}$ *given by*

$${}_2\hat{\nu}_0(m) = Disc(Q_m) \ ,$$

is a bijection.

3.3 The map ${}_6\hat{\nu}_0 : {}^6\mathcal{A}^c_{30}/SL(V) \to \mathbf{\Delta}_{6,2}$ *given by*

$${}_6\hat{\nu}_0(m) = (\nu_0(m), Disc(Q_m)) \ .$$

Proof. (1): By (1) of Proposition 6.1, the map is injective so it remains to prove surjectivity. Taking $e = f = b = 0$ and $d = 1$ in the formulæ (3.7) and (3.17), we get

$$\frac{\text{Inv}_m}{\text{Disc}(Q_m)} = \frac{2a^2c}{1 - 4ac}(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2) \ .$$

Let $X \in \mathbb{F}\setminus\{0\}$. Then one checks immediately that if we take the multiplication m corresponding to

$$a = 4X \ , \quad c = \frac{1}{48X} \ ,$$

then $\text{Inv}_m/\text{Disc}(Q_m) = X\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2$ and this proves surjectivity.

(2): To prove surjectivity in this case, we start with two lemmas.

Since $(p_3, p_2) \in \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{0\}$ we know that the splitting field $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ of Q_m is of degree at most two (see Lemma 4.20).

Lemma 6.6 *Write $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} = \mathbb{F}(\sqrt{a})$ where $a \in \mathbb{F}$ is a square in $\mathbb{F}$ if $[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 1$ and not a square in $\mathbb{F}$ if $[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 2$. Then*

$$s(\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)) = [a] \ .$$

Proof. Since $\tilde{\nu}(m) = (p_3, p_2, [\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}])$, as we showed in (4.33), there is a basis of idempotents $\{f_1, f_3\}$ of $V \otimes \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ such that

$$f_2 = -\sqrt{a}e_1 + \frac{1}{3}ae_2 \ , \quad f_3 = -\frac{2}{3}e_2 \ .$$

The dual basis is

$$\varphi_2 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}\epsilon_1 \ , \quad \varphi_3 = -\frac{\sqrt{a}}{2}\epsilon_1 - \frac{3}{2}\epsilon_2 \ ,$$

and hence from (4.25)

$$\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) = (f_2 \wedge f_3)^2 = \frac{9}{4a}(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2 \ .$$

By definition

$$s(\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)) = \left[\frac{9}{4a}\right] = [a]$$

and this proves the lemma. QED

Recall now (see Theorem 4.21) that $\tilde{\nu} : \mathcal{A}^c_{3C}/GL(V) \mapsto \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{0\} \times \mathcal{E}_2(\mathbb{F})$ is a bijection. In the following Lemma, by abuse of notation, we have identified $\mathcal{E}_2(\mathbb{F})$ with $\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}$ as in (4.32)

Lemma 6.7 *The following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}^c_{3C}/SL(V) & \xrightarrow{\hat{\nu}_s} & \Big(\Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{0\}\Big) \times (L^2 \setminus \{0\}) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle p} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Id}\times s} \\ \mathcal{A}^c_{3C}/GL(V) & \xrightarrow[\tilde{\nu}]{} & \Big(\Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{0\}\Big) \times \mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2} \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $m \in \mathcal{A}^c_{3C}$. We denote by $[m]_{SL}$ and $[m]_{GL}$ respectively, the corresponding equivalence classes with respect to the actions of $SL(V)$ and $GL(V)$. By definition,

$$\tilde{\nu}\big([m]_{GL}\big) = (p_3, p_2, \mathbb{F}_{Q_m})$$

where $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ is the splitting field of Q_m. Identifying $\mathcal{E}_2(\mathbb{F})$ with $\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}$ (see (4.32)) we can write this as

$$\tilde{\nu}\big([m]_{GL}\big) = (p_3, p_2, \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}) = (p_3, p_2, [a]) \ ,$$

if $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} = \mathbb{F}(\sqrt{a})$. By Lemma 6.6 this is equivalent to

$$\tilde{\nu}\big([m]_{GL}\big) = (p_3, p_2, s(\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m))$$

and by definition the RHS of this equation is equal to

$$(\mathrm{Id} \times s) \circ \hat{\tilde{\nu}}_s\big([m]_{SL}\big) \ .$$

This shows that the diagram is commutative. QED

We now show that $\hat{\tilde{\nu}}_s$ is surjective. Let $I = \mathrm{Im}(\hat{\tilde{\nu}}_s) \subset (\Gamma_{\mathrm{Cardano}} \setminus \{0\}) \times (L^2 \setminus \{0\})$. On the one hand, $\mathrm{Id} \times s(I)$ is equal to $(\Gamma_{\mathrm{Cardano}} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}$ since $\tilde{\nu}$ is surjective and the above diagram commutes. On the other hand, I is stable under the action of $\mathbb{F}^{*2}$ since

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\tilde{\nu}}_s\big([m]_{SL}\big) &= (p_3, p_2, \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)) \\ \hat{\tilde{\nu}}_s\big([\lambda \mathrm{Id} \cdot m]_{SL}\big) &= (p_3, p_2, \lambda^2 \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)) \ . \end{aligned}$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$. It follows that $I = (\Gamma_{\mathrm{Cardano}} \setminus \{0\}) \times (L^2 \setminus \{0\})$ and $\hat{\tilde{\nu}}_s$ is surjective.

(3): We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.8 *Let m be an element of $\mathcal{A}^c_{30}$, let $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ be a splitting field of Q_m and let Disc(Q_m) be the discriminant of Q_m. Then*

$$[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = \begin{cases} 1 \ \text{ or } \ 3 \ \Rightarrow \ s\big(\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)\big) = [1] \ , \\ \quad 2 \quad \Rightarrow \ s\big(\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)\big) = [\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}] \ , \\ \quad 6 \quad \Rightarrow \ s\big(\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)\big) = [\tilde{\mathbb{F}}] \ , \ \text{where} \ \ \tilde{\mathbb{F}} \ \text{is the unique} \\ \qquad\qquad\ \ \text{quadratic extension of } \mathbb{F} \text{ contained in } \mathbb{F}_{Q_m} \ . \end{cases}$$

Proof. We can always find a polynomial of the form $P(x) = x^3 + d_2 x - d_3$ in $\mathbb{F}[X]$ such that $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ is the splitting field of P. By Theorem 4.24 there exist $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \in \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ and f_1, f_2, f_3 in $V \otimes \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ such that the multiplication table of m in the basis $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is given by (4.37). In particular by (4.41) and (4.42)

$$\begin{aligned} Q_m \ = \ \frac{-1}{3D^2} \Big(& (\ \ 2\rho_1\rho_2 - \rho_2\rho_3 - \rho_3\rho_1)x - \rho_3 y\Big) \\ & \Big((-\rho_1\rho_2 + 2\rho_2\rho_3 - \rho_3\rho_1)x - \rho_1 y\Big) \\ & \Big((-\rho_1\rho_2 - \rho_2\rho_3 + 2\rho_3\rho_1)x - \rho_2 y\Big)(f_1 \wedge f_2) \ , \end{aligned}$$

where $D = (\rho_1 - \rho_2)(\rho_2 - \rho_3)(\rho_3 - \rho_1)$. Note that D^2 is in $\mathbb{F}$. By (4.25) we know that

$$\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) = (\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2)^{\otimes^2} \ ,$$

with $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$ the dual basis of f_1, f_2. On the other hand it follows from (4.37) that

$$(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) = \frac{3}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)(\rho_2 - \rho_3)(\rho_3 - \rho_1)} \, (\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2) \ .$$

Hence we have the formula

$$\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) = \frac{9}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)^2(\rho_2 - \rho_3)^2(\rho_3 - \rho_1)^2} \, (\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^{\otimes^2} \ .$$

If $[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 1$ then $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \in \mathbb{F}$ and hence $D \in \mathbb{F}$ which means that $s\big(\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)\big) = [1]$. If $[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 3$ then $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \in \mathbb{F}_{Q_m} \setminus \mathbb{F}$ but D, being invariant under the Galois group $\mathbb{Z}_3$, is an element of $\mathbb{F}$. Hence $s\big(\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)\big) = [1]$.
If $[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 2$ then $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}/\mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and the roots of $P(x)$ are $\rho_1 \in \mathbb{F}, \rho_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{Q_m} \setminus \mathbb{F}$ and $\rho_3 = \bar{\rho}_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{Q_m} \setminus \mathbb{F}$. Hence

$$D = (\rho_1 - \rho_2)(\rho_2 - \bar{\rho}_2)(\bar{\rho}_2 - \rho_1)$$

which is not invariant under the Galois group. Thus $\mathbb{F}(D)$ is a non-trivial quadratic extension of $\mathbb{F}$ contained in $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ which means that $s\big(\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)\big) = [\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}]$. Finally if $[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 6$, then $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}/\mathbb{F}) \cong S_3$ which acts on $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \in \mathbb{F}_{Q_m} \setminus \mathbb{F}$ by permutation. In particular D is not invariant under odd permutations and hence $D \notin \mathbb{F}$. Thus $\mathbb{F}(D)$ is a non-trivial quadratic extension of $\mathbb{F}$ contained in $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$. By the fundamental theorem of Galois theory there is only one such quadratic extension since the group S_3 has only one subgroup of index two. Hence $s\big(\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)\big)$ must be this quadratic extension. QED

We now prove (3.1) − (3.3) case by case.

(3.1): If $[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 1$ or 3 it follows from Lemma 6.8 that $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) \in L_{[1]}$ and since $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_{\lambda \mathrm{Id}\cdot m}) = \lambda^2 \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)$, it is clear that ${}_1\hat{\nu}_0$ is surjective.

(3.2): If $[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 2$ it follows from Lemma 6.8 that $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) = [\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}]$. As in the proof of (3.1), $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_{\lambda \mathrm{Id}\cdot m}) = \lambda^2 \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)$ and hence all points of the half-line $L_{[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}]}$ are in the image of ${}_2\nu_0(m)$. Since $\mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$ can be an arbitrary non-trivial quadratic extension of $\mathbb{F}$, this implies that the image of ${}_2\nu_0$ is $(L^2 \setminus \{0\}) \setminus L_{[1]}$.

(3.3): If $[\mathbb{F}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{F}] = 6$ it follows from Lemma 6.8 that $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) = [\tilde{\mathbb{F}}_m]$ where $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}_m$ is the unique quadratic extension of $\mathbb{F}$ such that $\mathbb{F} \subset \tilde{\mathbb{F}}_m \subset \mathbb{F}_{Q_m}$. As in the proof of (3.1), $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_{\lambda \mathrm{Id}\cdot m}) = \lambda^2 \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)$ and hence all points in the half-line $L_{[\tilde{\mathbb{F}}_m]}$ are in the image of ${}_6\hat{\nu}_0$. By the very definition of $\mathbf{\Delta}_{6,2}$ this is enough to prove that ${}_6\nu_0$ is surjective. QED

Remark 6.9 *We do not know how to characterise quadratic extensions which are contained in Galois extensions of order six.*

7 A linear embedding of stable $SL(V)-$moduli space

In the two previous sections we gave a parametrisation of the moduli spaces of generic two-dimensional commutative algebras under the action of $GL(V)$ and $SL(V)$. In this section, we $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed, we will construct an embedding ζ_s of the $SL(V)-$moduli space of stable commutative two-dimensional algebras onto a hypersurface $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Eisenstein}}$ in a four-dimensional vector space. The equation defining this hypersurface is essentially the classical Eisenstein identity for the covariants of a binary cubic. Furthermore, the "projectivisation" of ζ_s gives exactly the embedding of the stable $GL(V)-$moduli space onto $\mathbb{P}^2$ of [3].

With this in mind we make the following definitions. Recall that $\mathcal{A}^c_{\mathrm{st}}$ denotes the set of *all* stable commutative algebra structures on V and $L = \Lambda^2(V^*)$.

Definition 7.1

1. *Let* $\Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}} = \Big\{(A,B,D,C) \in L^2 \times L^2 \times L^2 \times L^3 \setminus \{0\} \;\; s.t. \;\; 27DA^2 + 4B^3 + 2^4 3^6 C^2 = 0\Big\}$.

2. *Let* $\zeta : \mathcal{A}^c_{st} \to L^2 \times L^2 \times L^2 \times L^3$ *be defined by*
$$\zeta(m) = (\tilde{p}_3(m), \tilde{p}_2(m), \text{Disc}(Q_m), \text{Inv}_m) \;\; , \;\; \forall m \in \mathcal{A}^c_{st}.$$
Note it follows from Theorem 3.17 and the definition of $\mathcal{A}^c_{st}$ *(cf. (4.20)) that* $\text{Im}(\zeta) \subset \Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}}$.

3. *The group* $\mathbb{F}^*$ *acts on* $L^2 \times L^2 \times L^2 \times L^3$ *by*
$$\lambda \cdot (A,B,D,C) = \Big(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}A, \frac{1}{\lambda^2}B, \frac{1}{\lambda^2}D, \frac{1}{\lambda^3}C\Big) \;\; .$$
We set
$$\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}(L^2 \times L^2 \times L^2 \times L^3) = (L^2 \times L^2 \times L^2 \times L^3)/\mathbb{F}^* \;\; .$$
Note that $\Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}}$ *is stable under this action and we will write* $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}(\Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}}) = \Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}}/\mathbb{F}^*$. *If* $U_3 = \big\{[A,B,D,C] \in \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}(\Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}}) \;\; s.t. \;\; D \neq 0\big\}$, *we define the canonical affine chart* $\psi_3 : U_3 \to \mathbb{F}^2$ *and the canonical projective chart* $\tilde{\psi}_3 : \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}(\Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}}) \to \mathbb{P}^2$ *by*
$$\Psi_3([A,B,D,C]) = \Big(\frac{A}{D}, \frac{B}{D}\Big) \;\; , \quad \tilde{\Psi}_3([A,B,D,C]) = [A,B,D] \;\; .$$

Remark 7.2 *Note that the action of* $\mathbb{F}^*$ *on the set* $\{(A,B,D,C) \in \Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}} \; s.t. \; C \neq 0\}$ *is principal. It follows from the definition of* ζ *that* $\zeta(m)$ *is in this set iff* $\nu(m) \notin \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}$.

Consider the natural map $p : \mathcal{A}^c_{\text{st}}/SL(V) \to \mathcal{A}^c_{\text{st}}/GL(V)$. There is a $GL(V)/SL(V) \cong \mathbb{F}^*-$action on $\mathcal{A}^c_{\text{st}}/SL(V)$ given by
$$\lambda \cdot [m]_{SL(V)} = [g \cdot m] \;\; \text{where} \;\; g \in GL(V) \;\; \text{is s.t.} \;\; \det(g) = \lambda \tag{7.45}$$
which satisfies
$$p\Big(\lambda \cdot [m]_{SL(V)}\Big) = p\Big([m]_{SL(V)}\Big) \;\; .$$

Since the coordinates of ζ are $GL(V)-$equivariant "twisted" polynomials, the map ζ factors to define a map $\zeta_s : \mathcal{A}^c_{\text{st}}/SL(V) \to \Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}}$. It follows that ζ_s is $\mathbb{F}^*-$equivariant with respect to the natural $\mathbb{F}^*-$actions defined above (*c.f.* Definition 7.1 (3) and Equation 7.45) and factors to define a map $\zeta_g : \mathcal{A}^c_{\text{st}}/GL(V) \to \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}(\Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}})$. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathcal{A}^c_3 & \subset & \mathcal{A}^c_{\text{st}} & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
\mathcal{A}^c_3/SL(V) & \subset & \mathcal{A}^c_{\text{st}}/SL(V) & \xrightarrow[\zeta_s]{} & \Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}} & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow \pi & & \\
\mathcal{A}^c_3/GL(V) & \subset & \mathcal{A}^c_{\text{st}}/GL(V) & \xrightarrow[\zeta_g]{} & \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}(\Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}}) & \supset & U_3 \xrightarrow[\Psi_3]{} \mathbb{F}^2
\end{array}$$

and now determine the isotropy of the two $\mathbb{F}^*-$actions defined above.

Proposition 7.3

1. *Let* $(A,B,D,C) \in L^2 \times L^2 \times L^2 \times L^3$ *and* $I_{(A,B,D,C)} = \Big\{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^* \text{ s.t. } \lambda \cdot (A,B,D,C) = (A,B,D,C)\Big\}$. *Then*

$$I_{(A,B,D,C)} = \begin{cases} \{1\} & \text{if } C \neq 0 \\ \{1,-1\} & \text{if } C = 0 \end{cases} .$$

2. *Let* $[m]_{SL(V)} \in \mathcal{A}^c_{st}/SL(V)$ *and* $I_{[m]_{SL(V)}} = \Big\{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^* \text{ s.t. } \lambda \cdot [m]_{SL(V)} = [m]_{SL(V)}\Big\}$. *Then*

$$I_{[m]_{SL(V)}} \begin{cases} = & \{1\} & \text{if } \mathrm{Inv}_m \neq 0 \\ \subset & \{1,-1\} & \text{if } \mathrm{Inv}_m = 0 \end{cases} .$$

Proof. (1) is immediate and (2) follows from the fact that $I_{[m]_{SL(V)}} \subset I_{\zeta_s([m])}$ since ζ_s is $\mathbb{F}^*-$equivariant. QED

The following theorem shows that many of the solutions of the Eisenstein equation are in the image of ζ. In fact, as we will show in Corollary 7.7, it turns out that all solutions are in the image of ζ.

Theorem 7.4 *The image of* $\zeta_s : \mathcal{A}^c_3/SL(V) \to \Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}}$ *is given by*

$$\mathrm{Im}(\zeta_s)_{|_{\mathcal{A}^c_3/SL(V)}} = \Gamma^*_{\text{Eisenstein}} = \Big\{(A,B,D,C) \in \Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}} \text{ s.t. } D \neq 0\Big\} .$$

Proof.

We split the proof into two lemmas corresponding to whether $C = 0$ or $C \neq 0$.

Lemma 7.5 *Let* $(A,B,D,0) \in \Gamma^*_{\text{Eisenstein}}$. *Then there exists* $m \in \mathcal{A}^c_3$ *such that* $\zeta_s([m]_{SL(V)}) = (A,B,D,0)$.

Proof. By Theorem 6.5 (2), (3.1) and (3.2) there exists $m \in \mathcal{A}^c_3$ such that

$$\frac{\tilde{p}_3}{\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)} = \frac{A}{D} , \quad \frac{\tilde{p}_2}{\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)} = \frac{B}{D} , \quad \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) = D .$$

It follows that $\zeta_s([m]_{SL(V)}) = (\tilde{p}_3, \tilde{p}_2, \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m), 0) = (A,B,D,0)$. QED

Lemma 7.6 *Let* $(A,B,D,C) \in \Gamma^*_{\text{Eisenstein}}$ *be s.t.* $C \neq 0$. *Then there exists* $m \in \mathcal{A}^c_3$ *such that* $\zeta_s([m]_{SL(V)}) = (A,B,D,C)$.

Proof. By Theorem 6.5 (1) the exists $m \in \mathcal{A}^c_3$ such that

$$\frac{\tilde{p}_3}{\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)} = \frac{A}{D} , \quad \frac{\tilde{p}_2}{\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)} = \frac{B}{D} , \quad \frac{\mathrm{Inv}_m}{\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)} = \frac{C}{D} .$$

By Theorem 3.17,

$$27\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)\tilde{p}_3^2 + 4\tilde{p}_2^3 = -2^4 3^6 \mathrm{Inv}_m^2$$

and hence

$$27\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)\Big(\frac{A}{D}\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)\Big)^2 + 4\Big(\frac{B}{D}\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)\Big)^3 = -2^4 3^6\Big(\frac{C}{D}\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)\Big)^2 \ .$$

Dividing by $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)^2$ this reduces to

$$\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)\left(27\Big(\frac{A}{D}\Big)^2 + 4\Big(\frac{B}{D}\Big)^3\right) = -2^4 3^6\Big(\frac{C}{D}\Big)^2$$

but since by hypothesis

$$27DA^2 + 4B^3 = -2^4 3^6 C^2$$

and $C \neq 0$, we get

$$\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) = D \ .$$

It follows that $\zeta_s([m]_{SL(V)}) = (\tilde{p}_3, \tilde{p}_2, \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m), \mathrm{Inv}_m) = (A, B, D, C)$. QED

QED

Corollary 7.7 *The map $\zeta_s : \mathcal{A}^3_{st}/SL(V) \to \Gamma_{\mathrm{Eisenstein}}$ is surjective.*

Proof. By Theorem 7.4 it remains to show that the image of ζ_s contains

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{Eisenstein}} \setminus \Gamma^*_{\mathrm{Eisenstein}} = \Big\{(A, B, 0, C) \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Eisenstein}}\Big\} \ .$$

First note that $\zeta(m) = (A, B, 0, C)$ implies that the fundamental cubic Q_m has a multiple root and hence m admits at least one $v \in V$ such that $m(v, v)$ is proportional to v. Motivated by this observation, let us consider $m \in \mathcal{A}^c_{\mathrm{st}}$ of the form

$$m(e_1, e_1) = ae_1 \ , \quad m(e_2, e_2) = de_2 \ , \quad m(m_1, e_2) = ee_1 + fe_2 \ ,$$

where $a, d, e, f \in \mathbb{F}$. Using (3.7) the condition $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) = 0$ is equivalent to $(d-2e)^2(a-2f)^2 = 0$. Choosing $d = 2$ and $e = 1$ leads to the multiplication table

$$m(e_1, e_1) = ae_1 \ , \quad m(e_2, e_2) = 2e_2 \ , \quad m(m_1, e_2) = e_1 + fe_2 \ ,$$

and we now show that if $(A, B, 0, C) \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Eisenstein}}$ satisfies $BC \neq 0$ then there exists m of this form such that $\zeta(m) = (A, B, 0, C)$. From (3.13), (3.16) and (3.17) the equation $\zeta(m) = (A, B, 0, C)$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_3 &= 72(a+f)(a-2f)(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2 = A \ , \\ \tilde{p}_2 &= -36(a-2f)^2(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2 = B \ , \\ \mathrm{Inv}_m &= 4(a-2f)^3(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^3 = C \ , \end{aligned}$$

where B and C satisfy the Eisenstein constraint

$$4(-B)^3 = (4 \times 27)^2 C^2 \ .$$

One easily checks that if $(a, f) \in \mathbb{F}^2$ is any solution of the linear system

$$\begin{aligned} (a - 2f)\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2 &= -9\frac{C}{B} \ , \\ (a + f)\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2 &= -\frac{AB}{9 \times 72C} \ , \end{aligned}$$

the corresponding multiplication m satisfies $\zeta(m) = (A, B, 0, C)$.

To complete the proof of the corollary, it only remains to show that the image of ζ_s contains points of $\Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}}$ which are of the form: $(A, 0, 0, 0)$. (Recall that $B = 0$ is equivalent to $C = 0$ by the Eisenstein condition.) For this, consider $m \in \mathcal{A}^c_{\text{st}}$ of the form

$$m(e_1, e_1) = e_1 \ , \quad m(e_2, e_2) = ce_1 \ , \quad m(e_1, e_2) = \frac{1}{2}e_2 \ ,$$

$c \in \mathbb{F}$. Using (3.13), (3.16) and (3.17) we obtain

$$\tilde{p}_3 = 27c(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2 \ , \quad \tilde{p}_2 = \text{Disc}(Q_m) = 0 \ , \text{Inv}_m = 0,$$

and hence taking

$$c = \frac{A}{27} \ ,$$

the corresponding multiplication m satisfies $\zeta(m) = (A, 0, 0, 0)$. QED

The following theorem is the main theorem of the paper.Assuming $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed we show that ζ_s is an embedding of the $SL(V)-$moduli space of stable commutative two-dimensional algebras onto the hypersurface $\Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}}$ in a four-dimensional vector space. Furthermore, the "projectivisation" of ζ_s gives exactly the embedding of the $GL(V)-$moduli space onto $\mathbb{P}^2$ of [3].

The theorem also shows that moduli spaces – both for the action of $GL(V)$ and $SL(V)-$ of *stable* two-dimensional commutative algebras can be thought of as compactifications of moduli spaces of *generic* two-dimensional commutative algebras. For instance $\zeta_s(\mathcal{A}^c_{\text{st}}/SL(V)) = \Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}}$ is a "compactification" of $\zeta_s(\mathcal{A}^c_3/SL(V))$ where "the points at infinity" are given by $\Big\{(A, B, 0, C) \in \Gamma_{\text{Eisenstein}}\Big\}$.

Theorem 7.8 *Suppose $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed and consider the commutative diagram:*



Then

1. $\zeta_s : \mathcal{A}^c_3/SL(V) \to \Gamma^*_{Eisenstein}$ *is a bijection;*
2. $\zeta_g : \mathcal{A}^c_3/GL(V) \to \mathbb{P}(\Gamma^*_{Eisenstein})$ *is a bijection;*
3. $\zeta_s : \mathcal{A}^c_{st}/SL(V) \to \Gamma_{Eisenstein}$ *is a bijection;*
4. $\zeta_g : \mathcal{A}^c_{st}/GL(V) \to \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}(\Gamma_{Eisenstein})$ *is a bijection;*
5. $\Psi_3 : \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}(\Gamma^*_{Eisenstein}) \to \mathbb{F}^2$ *is a bijection;*
6. $\tilde{\Psi}_3 : \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}(\Gamma_{Eisenstein}) \to \mathbb{P}^2$ *is a bijection;*

Proof. Let us remark that the front face of the diagram involves only generic commutative algebras and the back face only stable commutative algebras.

We first prove part 1 and part 2. Surjectivity for both maps was proved in Theorem 7.4 over an arbitrary field.

To prove the injectivity of ζ_s let $[m]_{SL(V)}, [m']_{SL(V)} \in \mathcal{A}_3^c/SL(V)$ be such that $\zeta_s([m]_{SL(V)}) = \zeta_s([m']_{SL(V)})$. Then by definition,

$$(\tilde{p}_3(m), \tilde{p}_2(m), \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m), \mathrm{Inv}_m) = (\tilde{p}_3(m'), \tilde{p}_2(m'), \mathrm{Disc}(Q_{m'}), \mathrm{Inv}_{m'}) \tag{7.46}$$

and since $\mathbb{F}$ has no non-trivial extensions, it follows from Theorem 6.5 (1), (2) and (3) that $[m]_{SL(V)} = [m']_{SL(V)}$.

To prove injectivity of ζ_g, first observe that by definition, $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}(\Gamma^*_{\mathrm{Eisenstein}}) = U_3$ and $\hat{\nu} = \Psi_3 \circ \zeta_g$. Hence if $\zeta_g([m]_{GL(V)}) = \zeta_g([m']_{GL(V)})$ then $\hat{\nu}([m]) = \hat{\nu}([m'])$ and, since $\mathbb{F}$ has no non-trivial extensions, it follows from Theorems 4.19, 4.21 and 4.24 that $[m]_{GL(V)} = [m']_{GL(V)}$.

We now prove part 3 and part 4. Surjectivity for both maps was proved in Corollary 7.7 over an arbitrary field.

To prove the injectivity of $\zeta_g : \mathcal{A}^c_{\mathrm{st}}/GL(V) \to \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}(\Gamma_{\mathrm{Eisenstein}})$ recall that, as already observed in (4.23), we have

$$\Phi = \tilde{\Psi}_3 \circ \zeta_g \ ,$$

where $\Phi : \mathcal{A}^c_{\mathrm{st}}/GL(V) \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is given by (*cf* [3])

$$\Phi([m]) = [\tilde{p}_3(m), \tilde{p}_2(m), \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m)] \ .$$

It was shown in [3] that Φ is injective and hence it follows that both ζ_g and $\tilde{\Psi}_3$ are injective (as we have already shown that ζ_g is surjective). This proves part (3) of the theorem.

To prove the injectivity of $\zeta_s : \mathcal{A}^c_{\mathrm{st}}/SL(V) \to \Gamma_{\mathrm{Eisenstein}}$ let $m, m' \in \mathcal{A}^c_{\mathrm{st}}$ be such that

$$\zeta_s([m]_{SL(V)}) = \zeta_s([m']_{SL(V)}) \ .$$

Then

$$\zeta_g([m]_{GL(V)}) = \zeta_g([m']_{GL(V)})$$

and so $\Phi([m]) = \Phi([m'])$. By [3] it follows that there exists $g \in GL(V)$ such that $m' = g \cdot m$. On the other hand $\zeta_s([m]_{SL(V)} = \zeta_s([m']_{SL(V)}$ is equivalent to

$$(\tilde{p}_2(m), \tilde{p}_3(m), \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m), \mathrm{Inv}_m) = (\tilde{p}_2(m'), \tilde{p}_3(m'), \mathrm{Disc}(Q_{m'}), \mathrm{Inv}_{m'}) \ . \tag{7.47}$$

If $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) = \mathrm{Disc}(Q_{m'}) \neq 0$ it follows from Theorem 6.5 (1), (2) and (3) that $[m]_{SL(V)} = [m']_{SL(V)}$.

If $\mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) = \mathrm{Disc}(Q_{m'}) = 0$ it follows (see Appendix A) that m and m' are $GL(V)-$equivalent to one of the algebras in Table 1 (two types) or Table 2 (one type). The condition (7.47) implies that m and m' must be of the same type. In fact, as we show in the following lemma, for such algebras $GL(V)-$equivalence implies $SL(V)-$equivalence.

Lemma 7.9 *Let (e_1, e_2) and (e'_1, e'_2) be bases of V. We denote by (ϵ_1, ϵ_2) and $(\epsilon'_1, \epsilon'_2)$ the corresponding dual bases. Define $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^*$ by $e'_1 \wedge e'_e = \alpha e_1 \wedge e_2$.*

(i) Let $\nu, \nu' \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ and consider the two commutative algebras m, m' given by

$$\begin{array}{lll} m(e_1,e_1)=e_1\ , & m(e_2,e_2)=e_2\ , & m(e_1,e_2)=\frac{1}{2}e_1+\nu e_2\ , \\ m'(e'_1,e'_1)=e'_1\ , & m(e'_2,e'_2)=e'_2\ , & m(e'_1,e'_2)=\frac{1}{2}e'_1+\nu' e'_2\ . \end{array}$$

If m and m' are $GL(V)-$equivalent and satisfy (7.46) then they are $SL(V)-$equivalent.

(ii) Consider the two commutative algebras m, m' given by

$$\begin{array}{lll} m(e_1,e_1)=0\ , & m(e_2,e_2)=e_2\ , & m(e_1,e_2)=\frac{1}{2}e_1+e_2, \\ m'(e'_1,e'_1)=0\ , & m(e'_2,e'_2)=e'_2\ , & m(e'_1,e'_2)=\frac{1}{2}e'_1+e'_2, \end{array}$$

If m and m' are $GL(V)-$equivalent and satisfy (7.46) then they are $SL(V)-$equivalent.

(iii) Let $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and consider the two commutative algebras m, m' given by

$$\begin{array}{lll} m(e_1,e_1)=e_1\ , & m(e_2,e_2)=\lambda e_1\ , & m(e_1,e_2)=\frac{1}{2}e_2, \\ m'(e'_1,e'_1)=e'_1\ , & m(e'_2,e'_2)=\lambda e'_1\ , & m(e'_1,e'_2)=\frac{1}{2}e'_2, \end{array}$$

If m and m' are $GL(V)-$equivalent and satisfy (7.46) then they are $SL(V)-$equivalent.

Proof. (i) : Since m and m' are $GL(V)-$equivalent we must have $\nu = \nu'$ (see Theorem A.1). Since by (7.46) we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \tilde{p}_3(m) = -18(2\nu-1)(\nu+1)(\epsilon_1\wedge\epsilon_2)^2 & = \tilde{p}_3(m') = -18(2\nu-1)(\nu+1)(\epsilon'_1\wedge\epsilon'_2)^2 \\ \tilde{p}_2(m) = -9(2\nu-1)^2(\epsilon_1\wedge\epsilon_2)^2 & = \tilde{p}_2(m') = -9(2\nu-1)^2(\epsilon'_1\wedge\epsilon'_2)^2\ . \end{array}$$

Substituting $\epsilon'_1 \wedge \epsilon'_2 = 1/\alpha\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon$ and solving the two equations above gives $\alpha^2 = 1$. Since $\mathrm{Inv}_m = -1/2(2\nu-1)^3(\epsilon_1\wedge\epsilon_2)^3 = \mathrm{Inv}'_m = -1/2(2\nu-1)^3(\epsilon'_1\wedge\epsilon'_2)^3$ then $\alpha^3 = 1$. This implies that $\alpha = 1$ and the linear mapping $g : V \to V$ given by $g(e_1) = e'_1,\ g(e_2) = e'_2$ is an algebra isomorphism of determinant one.

(ii): Just as above the equality (7.46) implies that $\alpha^3 = \alpha^2 = 1$ and hence the linear mapping $g : V \to V$ given by $g(e_1) = e'_1,\ g(e_2) = e'_2$ is an algebra isomorphism of determinant one.

(iii): Since $\tilde{p}_3(m) = -27\lambda(\epsilon_1\wedge\epsilon_2)^2 = \tilde{p}_3(m') = -27\lambda'(\epsilon'_1\wedge\epsilon'_2)^2$, we have $\lambda' = \alpha^2\lambda$. Let $\mu \in \mathbb{F}^*$ be the unique square root of λ/λ' such that $\mu\alpha = 1$. It is straightforward to check that the linear map $g : V \to V$ given

$$g(e_1) = e'_1\ , g(e_2) = \mu e'_2\ ,$$

is an algebra isomorphism of determinant one. QED

This completes the proof of part (3) of the Theorem.

Finally, observe that part (6) of the theorem implies part (5) of the theorem and that part (6) is a consequence of the fact that

$$\tilde{\Psi}_3 = \Phi \circ \zeta_g^{-1}$$

is the composition of the bijections ζ_g^{-1} (*cf* part (4) above) and Φ (*cf* [3]).

QED

8 Disc(D)-generic algebras modulo $SL(V)\times SL(V)$ and binary quadratic forms modulo $SL(V)$

Recall that if $m\in\mathcal{A}^c$, by definition $\mathrm{Disc}(D_m)$ is the discriminant of the quadratic form $\det L_m$ (*cf* Definition 3.18). In this section we consider the set of $\mathrm{Disc}(D)$-generic algebras

$$\mathcal{A}^c_D=\Big\{m\in\mathcal{A}^c\ \text{ s.t. }\ \mathrm{Disc}(D_m)\neq 0\Big\}\ ,$$

modulo the left action of $SL(V)\times SL(V)$ given by

$${}^{(g_1,g_2)}m(u,v)=g_1m(g_2^{-1}u,g_2^{-1}v)\ .$$

Restricting this action to the diagonally embedded $SL(V)$

$$g\mapsto(g,g)$$

we recover the action of $SL(V)$ of (2.3). It turns out that $\mathrm{Disc}(D)$ is not just $SL(V)$ invariant but in fact $SL(V)\times SL(V)$ invariant. We first show that the map which associates to m in $\mathcal{A}^c_D$ the binary quadratic form D_m induces a bijection $\hat{D}$ of the moduli space $\mathcal{A}^c_D/(SL(V)\times SL(V))$ with the moduli space of non-degenerate quadratic forms $S^2(V^*)_{\mathrm{n.d.}}/SL(V)$. As an application we define a group structure on the elements of $\mathcal{A}^c_D/SL(V)\times SL(V)$ of fixed discriminant Δ, and show that $\hat{D}$ induces a group isomorphism between this group and the group of $SL(V)-$orbits of quadratic forms of discriminant Δ (with Gauss composition as the group law).

8.1 Isomorphism of moduli spaces

We first show that $\mathrm{Disc}(D_m)$ is an $SL(V)\times SL(V)$ invariant.

Proposition 8.1 *Let $m\in\mathcal{A}^c$ and $(g_1,g_2)\in SL(V)\times SL(V)$. Then,*

(i) $D_{{}^{(g_1,g_2)}m}=g_2\cdot D_m$.

(ii) $\mathrm{Disc}(D_{{}^{(g_1,g_2)}m})=\mathrm{Disc}(D_m)$.

Proof. We set $\tilde{m}={}^{(g_1,g_2)}m$. By definition for all u,v in V,

$$\tilde{m}(u,v)=g_1m(g_2^{-1}u,g_2^{-1}v)$$

and thus

$$L^{\tilde{m}}_u(v)=g_1L^m_{g_2^{-1}u}(g_2^{-1}v)\ .$$

Hence

$$L^{\tilde{m}}_u=g_1\circ L^m_{g_2^{-1}u}\circ g_2^{-1}\ ,$$

and taking determinants

$$\det(L^{\tilde{m}}_u)=\det(L^m_{g_2^{-1}u})\ .$$

This implies (see (3.8))

$$D_{\tilde{m}}(u) = D_m(g_2^{-1}u) = g_2 \cdot D_m(u) \ , \quad \forall u \in V \ .$$

This proves part (i). Part (ii) follows from this and the fact that, as is well known, two binary quadratic forms in the same $SL(V)-$orbit have the same discriminant. QED

The following corollary is a simple consequence of the proof of the proposition and the fact that D_m is non-degenerate if $m \in \mathcal{A}_D^c$.

Corollary 8.2 *The map $D : \mathcal{A}_D^c \to S^2(V^*)_{n.d.}$ factors to a map $\hat{D} : \mathcal{A}_D^c/SL(V) \times SL(V) \to S^2(V^*)_{n.d.}/SL(V)$. If $m \in \mathcal{A}_D^c$ we write $\hat{D}_{[m]} = [D_m]$ for the image of the equivalence class $[m]$ by $\hat{D}$.*

Proposition 8.3 *The map $\hat{D} : \mathcal{A}_D^c/SL(V) \times SL(V) \to S^2(V^*)_{n.d.}/SL(V)$ defined in Corollary 8.2 is a bijection.*

Proof. To show that $\hat{D} : \mathcal{A}_D^c/SL(V) \times SL(V) \to S^2(V^*)_{\text{n.d.}}/SL(V)$ is surjective it is sufficient to show that $D : \mathcal{A}^c \to S^2(V^*)$ is surjective. Let (e_1, e_2) be a basis of V with dual basis $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ and $m : V \times V \to V$ be given by:

$$m(e_1, e_2) = ae_1 + be_2 \ , \quad m(e_2, e_2) = ce_1 + de_2 \ , \quad m(e_1, e_2) = ee_1 + fe_2 \ , \quad m(e_2, e_1) = ee_1 + fe_2 \ ,$$

then by (3.9)

$$D_m(xe_1 + ye_2) = (af - be)x^2 + (ad - bc)xy + (ed - cf)y^2 \ .$$

If $q = \alpha x^2 + 2\beta xy + \gamma y^2$ then $D_m = q$ *iff*

$$af - be = \alpha \ ; \quad ad - bc = 2\beta \ ; \quad ed - cf = \gamma \ . \tag{8.48}$$

If $q = 0$ then $m \equiv 0$ satisfies $\hat{D}(m) = q$. If $q \neq 0$ then without loss of generality we can suppose that $\beta \neq 0$. Then the vectors $v_1 = ae_1 + be_2$ and $v_2 = ce_1 + de_2$ form a basis of V and there exist constants A, B s.t.

$$ee_1 + fe_2 = Av_1 + Bv_2 \ .$$

Substituting in the system above leads to

$$A = \frac{\gamma}{2\beta} \ ; \quad B = \frac{\alpha}{2\beta} \ ; \quad ad - bc = 2\beta \ .$$

In other words our system has a solution *iff* $ad - bc = 2\beta$ has a solution but this is obvious (*e.g.* $a = 2, d = \beta, b = c = 0, e = \frac{\gamma}{\beta}, f = \frac{\alpha}{2}$). This completes the proof of the surjectivity of $\hat{D}$.

To show that $\hat{D}$ is injective we first prove the following lemma:

Lemma 8.4 *Let q be in $S^2(V^*)_{n.d.}$ and suppose that $D(m_1) = D(m_2) = q$ for m_1, m_2 in $\mathcal{A}_D^c$. Then there exists $(g, 1) \in SL(V) \times SL(V)$ such that ${}^{(g,1)}m_1 = m_2$.*

Proof. It follows from (8.48) that $D_m = q$ *iff*

$$af - be = \alpha \ , \quad ad - bc = 2\beta \ , \quad ed - cf = \gamma \quad .$$

In particular, the multiplication m_0 given by

$$a_0 = 2 \ , \quad d_0 = \beta \quad , b_0 = c_0 = 0 \ , e_0 = \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \ , \quad f_0 = \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

satisfies $D_{m_0} = q$. It is easy to check that if we set

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a}{2} & \frac{c}{\beta} \\ \frac{b}{2} & \frac{d}{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \ ,$$

then $\det(g) = 1$ and

$${}^{(g,1)}m_0 = m \ .$$

Thus the group $SL(V) \times \{1\}$ acts transitively on the set of solutions of $D_m = q$ which proves the lemma. QED

We now prove $\hat{D}$ is injective. Suppose that

$$\hat{D}_{[m_1]} = \hat{D}_{[m_2]} \ .$$

Thus by definition

$$[D_{m_1}] = [D_{m_2}] \ ,$$

from which it follows that there exist h in $SL(V)$ s.t.

$$h \cdot D_{m_1} = D_{m_2} \ .$$

By Proposition 8.1(i) this means that

$$D_{{}^{(1,h)}m_1} = D_{m_2} \ ,$$

and therefore by Lemma 8.4 there exists g in $SL(V)$ such that

$${}^{(g,1)}({}^{(1,h)}m_1) = m_2 \ .$$

Hence

$${}^{(g,h)}m_1 = m_2 \ ,$$

in other words

$$[m_1] = [m_2]$$

and $\hat{D}$ is injective. QED

8.2 Group structure

The Gauss composition law is a non-trivial group law on the set of $SL(V)-$orbits of non-degenerate quadratic forms with fixed non-zero discriminant. There is therefore a unique group structure on the set of $SL(V)\times SL(V)-$orbits of $\mathrm{Disc}(D)-$generic algebras with fixed discriminant, which makes $\hat{D}$ (*cf* Proposition 8.3) into a group isomorphism. We will now give an intrinsic description of this group structure.

Let now $[m],[m']$ be two elements of $\mathcal{A}_D^c/SL(V)\times SL(V)$ such that $\mathrm{Disc}(\hat{D}_{[m]})=\mathrm{Disc}(\hat{D}_{[m']})$ is not a square in L^2. We can always find a basis $\{e_1,e_2\}$ of V which is neither orthogonal for $\hat{D}_{[m]}$ nor for $\hat{D}_{[m']}$. In this basis we write

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{D}_{[m]}(xe_1+ye_2) &= \alpha\, x^2+2\beta\, xy+\gamma\, y^2\ ,\\ \hat{D}_{[m']}(xe_1+ye_2) &= \alpha' x^2+2\beta' xy+\gamma' y^2\ ,\\ \mathrm{Disc}(\hat{D}_{[m]})=\mathrm{Disc}(\hat{D}_{[m']}) &= \Delta(\epsilon_1\wedge\epsilon_2)^{\otimes^2}\ ,\end{aligned}$$

where $\{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\}$ is the dual basis of $\{e_1,e_2\}$, Δ is an element of $\mathbb{F}^*$ *which is not a square in* $\mathbb{F}^*$ and $\beta\beta'\neq 0$. Note that $\Delta=4\beta^2-4\alpha\gamma=4\beta'^2-4\alpha'\gamma'$ and hence it follows that $\alpha\gamma\alpha'\gamma'\neq 0$. By Lemma 8.4 the multiplications m_0 and m_0' given by

$$\begin{aligned}a_0=2\ ,\quad d_0=\beta\quad ,b_0=c_0=0\ ,e_0=\frac{\gamma}{\beta}\quad ,\quad f_0=\frac{\alpha}{2}\\ a_0'=2\ ,\quad d_0'=\beta'\quad ,b_0'=c_0'=0\ ,e_0'=\frac{\gamma'}{\beta'}\ ,\quad f_0'=\frac{\alpha'}{2}\end{aligned}$$

satisfy $\hat{D}_{[m_0]}=\hat{D}_{[m]}$ and $D_{[m_0']}=\hat{D}_{[m']}$. The discriminants of m_0,m_0' are both equal to $\Delta(\epsilon_1\wedge\epsilon_2)^{\otimes^2}$ so we can write

$$f_0=\frac{d_0^2-\frac{\Delta}{4}}{2e_0d_0}\ ,\quad f_0'=\frac{{d_0'}^2-\frac{\Delta}{4}}{2e_0'd_0'}$$

and this suggests that we define the "product" of $[m_0]$ and $[m_0']$ to be the class of the multiplication m_0'' given in the basis $\{e_1,e_2\}$ by

$$a_0''=\frac{a_0a_0'}{2}\ ,\quad d_0''=d_0d_0'\quad ,b_0''=b_0b_0'\ ,\quad c_0''=c_0c_0'\ ,\quad e_0''=e_0e_0'\ ,\quad f_0''=\frac{(d_0d_0')^2-\frac{\Delta}{4}}{2e_0d_0e_0'd_0'}\ .$$

By Lemma 8.4 one gets

$$\hat{D}_{[m_0'']}(xe_1+ye_2)=\frac{(\beta\beta')^2-\frac{\Delta}{4}}{\gamma\gamma'}x^2+2\beta\beta' xy+\gamma\gamma' y^2$$

from which it follows that the discriminant of m_0'' is equal to $\Delta(\epsilon_1\wedge\epsilon_2)^{\otimes^2}$.

Proposition 8.5 *Let $Q_\Delta=S^2(V^*)_\Delta/SL(V)$ denote the set of $SL(V)-$equivalent classes of quadratic forms of discriminant Δ and let $\star: Q_\Delta\times Q_\Delta\to Q_\Delta$ be Gauss composition. Then $\hat{D}_{[m]}\star\hat{D}_{[m']}=\hat{D}_{[m'']}$.*

Proof. Set

$$\mathbb{F}^*_\Delta = \left\{ a^2 - \Delta b^2 \in \mathbb{F}^* \quad \text{s.t.} \quad a, b \in \mathbb{F} \right\}$$

and

$$G_\Delta = \mathbb{F}^* / \mathbb{F}^*_\Delta \ .$$

It is well know that Q_Δ with Gauss composition is isomorphic to G_Δ under the map $[q] \mapsto [q(v)]$ where $q \in S^2(V^*)_\Delta$ and $q(v)$ is its value at any *non-isotropic* $v \in V$. Hence to prove the proposition it is sufficient to check that

$$\hat{D}_{[m'']}(e_2) = \hat{D}_{[m]}(e_2)\hat{D}_{[m']}(e_2) \ ,$$

which is immediate since

$$\hat{D}_{[m_0]}(e_2) = \gamma \ , \quad \hat{D}_{[m'_0]}(e_2) = \gamma' \ , \quad \hat{D}_{[m''_0]}(e_2) = \gamma'' = \gamma\gamma' \ . \tag{8.49}$$

QED

Remark 8.6 *The essential point of our construction was the choice of the basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ with respect to which neither $\hat{D}_{[m]}$ nor $\hat{D}_{[m']}$ was diagonal. Once this choice has been made m_0, m'_0 and m''_0 are uniquely defined but there are many bases of V with the above property. Our proposition shows that in fact the $SL(V) \times SL(V)$ equivalence class of m'' is independent of this choice.*

Remark 8.7 *Proposition 8.5 is an analogue of the isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{Z}^2 \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^2\mathbb{Z}^2; \Delta) \to \mathrm{Cl}((Sym^2\mathbb{Z}^2)^*; \Delta) \ ,$$

of Bhargava [2] (p. 225).

A Non-generic commutative algebras

A.1 Stable commutative algebras

As we pointed out in Section 4.1 the $GL(V)-$moduli space $\mathcal{M}^c_{\mathrm{st}}$ of *stable* two-dimensional commutative algebras is larger than the $GL(V)-$moduli space of *generic* two-dimensional commutative algebras $\mathcal{M}^c_3$. In fact there is a stratification

$$\mathcal{M}^c_{\mathrm{st}} = \mathcal{M}^c_3 \cup \mathcal{M}^c_2 \cup \mathcal{M}^c_1 \ ,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}^c_3 &= \left\{ m \in \mathcal{M} \ \text{ s.t. } \ \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) \neq 0 \right\} ; \\
\mathcal{M}^c_2 &= \left\{ m \in \mathcal{M} \ \text{ s.t. } \ \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \tilde{p}_2(m) \neq 0 \right\} ; \\
\mathcal{M}^c_1 &= \left\{ m \in \mathcal{M} \ \text{ s.t. } \ \mathrm{Disc}(Q_m) = \tilde{p}_2(m) = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \tilde{p}_3(m) \neq 0 \right\} .
\end{aligned}$$

By definition the open *stratum* is the moduli space of generic algebras $\mathcal{M}_3^c$ and is of dimension two. The next *stratum* $\mathcal{M}_2^c$ is of dimension one and corresponds to algebras whose fundamental cubic has exactly two distinct roots, and the last *stratum* $\mathcal{M}_1^c$ is a single point and corresponds to algebras whose fundamental cubic has exactly one root (see below).

In Section 4 we showed that for $[m] \in \mathcal{M}_3$:

- if $\hat{\nu}([m]) \notin \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}$ then $\hat{\nu}([m])$ completely determines the equivalence class of m (even if $\mathbb{F}$ is not algebraically closed);
- if $\hat{\nu}([m]) \in \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ then $\hat{\nu}([m])$ together with the equivalence class of the splitting field (at most quadratic) of the fundamental cubic Q_m completely determine the equivalence class of m;
- if $\hat{\nu}([m]) = (0,0)$ then $\hat{\nu}([m])$ together with the equivalence class of the splitting field of the fundamental cubic Q_m completely determine the equivalence class of m;
- $\hat{\nu}([m]) \in \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ *iff* $[m] \in \left(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{st}}^c) \setminus \text{Sing}(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{st}}^c))\right) \cap \mathcal{M}_3^c$;
- $\hat{\nu}([m]) = (0,0)$ *iff* $[m] \in \text{Sing}(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{st}}^c)) \cap \mathcal{M}_3^c$;
- $\hat{\nu}([m]) \in \Gamma_{\text{Cardano}}$ *iff* m admits a non-trivial automorphism.

In this appendix we will extend all of the above results to $\mathcal{M}_{\text{st}}^c$. In order to do this we will give normal forms for algebras in $\mathcal{M}_2^c$ and $\mathcal{M}_1^c$ based on the fact that algebras in $\mathcal{M}_2^c$ have a basis of elements satisfying $m(x,x) = \lambda x$, and algebras in $\mathcal{M}_1^c$ have a one-dimensional subspace of elements satisfying $m(x,x) = \lambda x$.

Theorem A.1 *In Table 1 (resp. Table 2) we give the normal forms, continuous moduli, discrete moduli, the automorphisms, the values of the coordinates $\tilde{p}_2, \tilde{p}_3$, and the values of the invariants Q_m, $\text{Disc}(D_m)$, Inv_m for $\mathcal{M}_2^c$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_1^c$). "Discrete" moduli are only needed when the field $\mathbb{F}$ is not algebraically closed. In these tables we have written ${e_1}^2$ for $m(e_1,e_1)$ etc and $\{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\}$ for the basis dual to $\{e_1,e_2\}$.*

Proof. If $[m] \in \mathcal{M}_2^c$, there is a basis of elements satisfying $m(x,x) = kx$ and if $[m] \in \mathcal{M}_1^c$ there is a unique one-dimensional nontrivial subalgebra. If $[m] \in \mathcal{M}_2^c$ it is relatively straightforward to show that the normal forms in Table 1 are the only possible ones even if $\mathbb{F}$ is not algebraically closed. If $[m] \in \mathcal{M}_1^c$ there is a unique idempotent e_1 and those multiples of e_1 which are perfect squares define $[\lambda] \in \mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}$. It turns that this class completely characterises algebras in $\mathcal{M}_1^c$. QED

Remark A.2 *If $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed $\mathcal{M}_1^c$ consists of a single point as was shown in [3].*

Recall that

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text{Cardano}} = \left\{ [a,b,c] \in \mathbb{P}^2 \ \text{ s.t. } \ 27ca^2 + 4b^3 = 0 \right\},$$

Normal form	Moduli	Automorphisms	Coordinates $\tilde{p}_2, \tilde{p}_3$; Invariants Q_m, $\mathrm{Disc}(D_m)$.
$e_1^2 = e_1$ $e_2^2 = e_2$ $e_1 e_2 = \frac{1}{2}e_1 + \nu e_2$	continuous: $\nu \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}$. discrete: none.	$\{1\}$	$\tilde{p}_2 = -9(2\nu-1)^2(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2$ $\tilde{p}_3 = -18(2\nu-1)(\nu+1)(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2$ $\mathrm{Inv}_m = -\frac{1}{2}(2\nu-1)^3(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^3$ $Q_m = (1-2\nu)x^2 y(e_1 \wedge e_2)$ $\mathrm{Disc}(D_m) = (1-2\nu)(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2$
$e_1^2 = 0$ $e_2^2 = e_2$ $e_1 e_2 = \frac{1}{2}e_1 + e_2$	continuous: point. discrete: none.	$\{1\}$	$\tilde{p}_2 = -36(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2$ $\tilde{p}_3 = -36(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2$ $\mathrm{Inv}_m = -4(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^3$ $Q_m = -2x^2 y(e_1 \wedge e_2)$ $\mathrm{Disc}(D_m) = 0$

Table 1: $m \in \mathcal{M}_2^c$

Normal form	Moduli	Automorphisms	Invariants
$e_1^2 = e_1$ $e_2^2 = \lambda e_1$ $e_1 e_2 = \frac{1}{2}e_2$	continuous: point. discrete: $[\lambda] \in \mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}$.	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\tilde{p}_2 = 0$ $\tilde{p}_3 = -27\lambda(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2$ $\mathrm{Inv}_m = 0$ $Q_m = \lambda y^3(e_1 \wedge e_2)$ $\mathrm{Disc}(D_m) = \lambda(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2)^2$

Table 2: $m \in \mathcal{M}_1^c$

is the 'projective' extension of the 'affine' curve $\Gamma_{\text{Cardano}} \subset \mathbb{F}^2$ and that $\Phi : \mathcal{M}^c_{\text{st}} \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is the projective extension of $\hat{\nu} : \mathcal{M}^c_3 \to \mathbb{F}^2$.

It follows immediately from the Tables 1 and 2 that the properties given above for generic algebras extend to stable algebras as follows:

- if $\Phi([m]) \notin \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text{Cardano}}$ then $\Phi([m])$ completely determines the equivalence class of m (even if $\mathbb{F}$ is not algebraically closed);
- if $\Phi([m]) \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{[0,0,1]\}$ then $\Phi([m])$ together with the equivalence class of a (at most) quadratic extension of $\mathbb{F}$ determines the equivalence class of m;
- if $\Phi(m) = [0,0,1]$ then $\Phi([m])$ together with the equivalence class of the splitting field of the fundamental cubic Q_m completely determine the equivalence class of m;
- $\Phi([m]) \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text{Cardano}} \setminus \{[0,0,1]\}$ *iff* $[m] \in \Big(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{M}^c_{\text{st}}) \setminus \text{Sing}(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{M}^c_{\text{st}}))\Big)$;
- $\Phi([m]) = [0,0,1]$ *iff* $[m] \in \text{Sing}(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{M}^c_{\text{st}}))$;
- $\Phi([m]) \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text{Cardano}}$ *iff* m admits a non-trivial automorphism.

A.2 Non-stable commutative algebras

For completeness we give the classification of non-stable algebras which by definition are those algebras on which all scalar-valued invariant polynomials vanish.

Theorem A.3 *In Table 3 we give the normal forms, moduli, automorphisms, and the value of the fundamental cubic Q_m for non-stable commutative algebras. In these tables we have written ${e_1}^2$ for $m(e_1, e_1)$ etc and (ϵ_1, ϵ_2) for the basis dual to (e_1, e_2).*

Proof. The proof of this theorem is very similar to the proof of the theorem above. QED

Remark A.4 *It is interesting to observe that non-stable commutative algebras are characterised amongst all commutative algebras as being those whose automorphism group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}^*$. Similarly stable commutative algebras are characterised as those whose automorphism group is finite.*

Remark A.5 *Note that at the end of the article [3] a description of the moduli space of non-stable not necessarily commutative algebras is given.*

Normal form	Moduli	Automorphisms	Fundamental cubic
$\begin{aligned} e_1^2 &= e_1 \\ e_2^2 &= 0 \\ e_1e_2 &= \nu e_2 \end{aligned}$	continuous: $\nu \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}$. discrete: none.	$\mathbb{F}^*$	$Q_m = (1-2\nu)yx^2(e_1 \wedge e_2)^2$
$\begin{aligned} e_1^2 &= 0 \\ e_2^2 &= 0 \\ e_1e_2 &= e_2 \end{aligned}$	continuous: Point. discrete: none.	$\mathbb{F}^*$	$Q_m = -2yx^2(e_1 \wedge e_2)^2$
$\begin{aligned} e_1^2 &= 0 \\ e_2^2 &= e_1 + \delta e_2 \\ e_1e_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\delta e_1 \end{aligned}$	continuous: $\delta \in \{0,1\}$. discrete: none.	$\mathbb{F}^*$	$Q_m = y^3(e_1 \wedge e_2)^2$
$\begin{aligned} e_1^2 &= e_1 \\ e_2^2 &= 0 \\ e_1e_2 &= \frac{1}{2}e_2 \end{aligned}$	continuous: Point. discrete: none.	$\mathbb{F}^*$	$Q_m = 0$

Table 3: Non-stable algebras

References

[1] J.-P. Serre, *Cohomologie Galoisienne*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1994.

[2] M. Bhargava, "Higher composition laws. i: A new view on gauss composition, and quadratic generalizations.," *Ann. Math. (2)* **159** (2004) no. 1, 217–250.

[3] A. Z. Anan'in and A. E. Mironov, "The moduli space of 2-dimensional algebras," *Commun. Algebra* **28** (2000) no. 9, 4481–4488.

[4] B. Peirce, "Linear associative algebra," *Am. J. Math.* **4** (1881) 97–229.

[5] T. Luchian, "A classification of real linear algebras of order 2, with divisors of zero.." An. şti. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi, n. Ser., Secţ. I 4, No.1, 21-38 (1958)., 1958.

[6] L. Markus, "Quadratic differential equations and non-associative algebras," *Ann. Math. Stud.* **45** (1960) 185–213.

[7] I. Burdujan, "Types of non-isomorphic two-dimensional real division algebras." An. Ştiinţ. univ. al. i. cuza iaşi, n. ser., secţ. ia 31, suppl., 102-105 (1985)., 1985.

[8] S. Althoen and K. Hansen, "Two-dimensional real algebras with zero divisors," *Acta Sci. Math.* **56** (1992) no. 1-2, 23–42.

[9] S. Walcher, "On algebras of rank three," *Commun. Algebra* **27** (1999) no. 7, 3401–3438.

[10] H. P. Petersson, "The classification of two-dimensional nonassociative algebras.," *Result. Math.* **37** (2000) no. 1-2, 120–154.

[11] D. Đoković and K. Zhao, "Invariant theory for non-associative real two-dimensional algebras and its applications," *Transform. Groups* **9** (2004) no. 1, 3–23.

[12] E. Dieterich, "Classification, automorphism groups and categorical structure of the two-dimensional real division algebras," *J. Algebra Appl.* **4** (2005) no. 5, 517–538.

[13] W. T. Gan, B. Gross, and G. Savin, "Fourier coefficients of modular forms on G_2," *Duke Math. J.* **115** (2002) no. 1, 105–169.

[14] D. J. Wright, "The adelic zeta function associated to the space of binary cubic forms. I. Global theory," *Math. Ann.* **270** (1985) no. 4, 503–534.

[15] D. J. Wright and A. Yukie, "Prehomogeneous vector spaces and field extensions," *Invent. Math.* **110** (1992) no. 2, 283–314.

[16] M. E. M. Wood, *Moduli spaces for rings and ideals*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2009. http://gateway.proquest.com/openurl?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev Thesis (Ph.D.)–Princeton University.

[17] M. M. Wood, "Rings and ideals parameterized by binary n-ic forms," *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **83** (2011) no. 1, 208–231.

[18] B. N. Delone and D. K. Faddeev, *The theory of irrationalities of the third degree*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 10. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1964.

[19] G. Eisenstein, "Untersuchungen über die cubischen formen mit zwei variabeln," *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **27** (1844) 89–104.

[20] E. Darpö, "On the classification of the real flexible division algebras," *Colloq. Math.* **105** (2006) no. 1, 1–17.

[21] E. Artin, "Galois theory. edited and supplemented with a section on applications by arthur n. milgram.." Notre dame mathematical lectures, 2. notre dame, ind.: University of notre dame. i (1942)., 1942.

[22] S. C. Althoen and L. D. Kugler, "When is $\mathbb{R}^2$ a division algebra?," *Am. Math. Mon.* **90** (1983) 625–635.

[23] M. J. Slupinski and R. J. Stanton, "The special symplectic structure of binary cubics.," in *Representation theory, complex analysis, and integral geometry*, pp. 185–230. Basel: Birkhäuser, 2012.